

The New Ensign

No. 147 November 2021

This publication is for private circulation only



Calling The True Israel Peoples

Editorial

Dear Reader,



Drug Companies Own the Medical Profession

The war against true Israel, the white Caucasian people, is now headed by the Pharmacy industry, although to a lesser extent the medical profession has always been used. What better way could be used to murder someone without raising suspicion? It is in one's face, for the Latin root word for pharmacy means suppliers of poison!

Most readers, will be aware, that according to the Edomites' own publications, they planned to become doctors in order to kill the Goyim. It is perhaps also pertinent that the logo of the medical profession is a pole with two snakes (serpents) climbing up it!

One has the feeling that the dishonesty and ruthlessness of the pharmaceutical industry would be of no account were the medical profession not ready and willing to be corrupted. You don't have to look far to see just how the

profession has been corrupted by drug company money. Drug company riches and influence are everywhere. Doctors receive free journals paid for by drug companies. Doctors are taken out to lunch and dinner by drug companies.

These are the merchants spoken of by the Bible, whose root word in ancient Hebrew is synonymous with Canaanite, or the ITC (International Trade Cartel of today). The large trading corporations have different names, to give an appearance of competition, but are in fact owned by just 2 companies, BlackRock and Vanguard, behind which are probably the Rothschilds.

While the pharmaceutical industry are busy peddling their drugs, they are at the same time spending vast sums to eliminate effective cheap natural cures. To make matters worse, they were involved in setting up cancer charities, whose funds would be passed on to them, to "research" cures! While, on the other hand they were lobbying parliament, to make anyone who said they could cure cancer a criminal offence! Notwithstanding this there have been and are cures for all types of cancer, but praise Yahweh, their day of reckoning is close to hand!!

Editor

editor@newensign.com

This magazine is for private subscription only and is not in any way connected to The Ensign Message Magazine which is a totally separate entity.

Contents		Page
The Origin of Talmudism - Pastor Eli James		3
OT "Word for Word" Deuteronomy Part 25 - Pastor Steven H. Anderson		11
The Fall and Rise of Abraham's descendants (Part 8) - Adam De Witt		13
Harold Stough Notes - The Cymry		16
Pub's Three Notice Process To Stop Care Homes Mandating Vaxxes - Bernician		19
Letters and Views		23
Mystery on The Isle Wight - (Part 3) Arthur Firstenberg		28
Internal Revenue Service - Willie Martin		31
The Requirements for High Office - The Irish Savant		34
The Hoskins Report - Babylon (Part 2)		35
Lapalma Eruption An Artificial Attack		38
Meme Australian Dictatorship - Daniel Johns		38

The Origin of Talmudism

By
Pastor Eli James



PART OF THIS ARTICLE is excerpted from my previous post, The Enmity, Part 6.

As a result of that article, I have received much comment and many questions concerning the Second Temple Period, which began with the Return from the Babylonian Captivity. If you have already read that article, my apologies beforehand for the repeated material. But this material bears directly upon the Second Temple period, and that is the story that I wish to tell today.

It is absolutely crucial that we understand precisely what happened in the land of Judah during this "inter-testamental" period. Many students of the Bible have assumed and this includes CI students that Judaism began to take hold in Judah among those who returned from the Babylonian Captivity. They believe that the returning Judahites brought Babylonian teaching with them.

I contend that this belief is false, so I have prepared this follow-up article to present my case on the true origin of the religion called Judaism. This article also contains excerpts from my book, *The Great Impersonation, How the Anti-Christ Has Deceived the Whole World*. Information on how to obtain a copy of this book is provided at the end of this article. -- EJ)

Misconceptions About the Jewish People

The historical issue of when and where Judaism began must be clarified. This issue bears directly on how numerous biblical verses are understood. It is a fact that most people assume that the Jews are Israelites. I cannot stress strongly enough that this idea is false. My book, *The Great Impersonation, How the Anti-Christ Has Deceived the Whole World*, details the 2,000-year-old Jewish pretence and masquerade as

Israel. This book tells the parallel stories of True Israel and of those, the Edomite and Khazar Jews, who have been pretending to be us. Although the word 'Jew' is technically derived from the words 'Judah' and 'Judean,' a Jew is NOT a Judahite.

A Judahite is a 100% lineal descendant of the patriarch, Judah. No admixture of alien blood is allowed. This excludes half-breeds (mamzers). God's Law explicitly forbids race-mixing for Adamites and Israelites and Judahites. One look at the Jewish visage and a little bit of historical understanding proves conclusively that the Jewish people are neither Israelites nor Judahites.

On this point, most CI teachers agree. So, why is this important? Because Yahweh's Covenants, which were made exclusively to Israel, are being claimed by a usurper, the Jewish people, under the direction of their priesthood, the rabbinate. Fraud should be exposed wherever it is found; and fraud on so gigantic a scale as this must be shouted from the housetops.

The Jewish impersonation of Israel is the basis of their claim to Palestine. They are impostors, usurpers and murderers, in the name of Judah. "The Jews are God's chosen people." "The Jews are God's chosen people." "The Jews are God's chosen people." |ad nauseum.


The world has heard this lie billions of times. No amount of repetition makes the statement any truer. It is, in fact, the Biggest Lie of History. Since their occupation of Palestine is based on this historical fraud, everything they do there is evil; and anyone who supports them in this evil is also doing evil, whether intentionally or unintentionally.

Unfortunately, there are those in Christianity who do not fully understand the nature of this evil.

British Israel Versus American Israel

Howard B. Rand has written numerous books explaining the doctrine of Christian Identity. His book, *Study In Revelation*, is by far the best book

ever written on the subject of the Book of Revelation, or the Apocalypse of John. Mr. Rand details, with historical precision, the exact fulfilment of virtually every prophetic statement contained in the Apocalypse.



The only drawback to this book is, since it was written in the 1950's, Mr. Rand had to guess about what we have lived through since then. Nevertheless, I would have to say that this one book, with the exception of Bertrand Comparet's taped sermons on this subject, makes all other commentaries on the Apocalypse look childish. That is how highly I think of his work.

But Mr. Rand had a blind spot. He thought the Jewish people were the tribe of Judah! It is understandable why he thought this. He was of the British Israel school of Identity. The basic difference between British Israel and American Israel is that the former teaches what Mr. Rand believed, that the Jews are descended from Judah.

American Israel, on the contrary, understands that the Jewish people are neither Israelites nor Judahites. He, along with Herbert W. Armstrong and other American followers of British Israel, taught that the Jews are the Tribe of Judah and that the German people are descended from Japheth, the brother of Shem.

Rand and Armstrong have it backwards. The fact is that the German people are descended from the Jutes, Saxons, Sueves, Goths, Teutons, and other Germanic tribes, all of whom are directly descended from the Lost Ten Tribes of Israel. As the name implies, the Jutes were Judahites. History records that the vast majority of the Judahites and Benjamites were also taken captive along with the Ten Northern Tribes as part of the Assyrian Captivity, 745-715 B.C.

Only Jerusalem and its immediate vicinity were not taken captive at this time. Hence, people from all twelve tribes were taken to Media and placed there by the Assyrians. The various tribes

made their escape directly west across Asia Minor or north through the Caucasus Mountains. (This event was prophesied in Isaiah 11:16). The latter migration path cited above explains how the Israelites came to be known as the "Caucasians." These facts are detailed in my book and also in Ted Weiland's book, God's Covenant People.

On the other hand, numerous authors, including Jewish authors, such as Alfred Lillienthal, The Zionist Connection, Benjamin Freedman, Facts Are Facts, Myron Fagan, The Illuminati, and Arthur Koestler,

The Thirteenth Tribe, have proved beyond a shadow of a doubt that the Jews of Eurasian extraction are descendants of the Khazars, who themselves are descended from Ashkenaz and Gomer, of the family tree of Japheth. Despite their claims to the contrary, 95% of today's Jews are not descended, even partially, from the family tree of Shem.

For this reason, they cannot possibly be Shemites! So, when someone accuses me of being an "anti-Semite," I tell them, "How can I be an anti-Semite? I Am a Semite!"



Why would British Israel teach that the Germans are not our racial kin? The reason is that Britain fought two World Wars against Germany; and the resultant massive wartime propaganda demonised Germany in the minds of the British people to such an extent that even Christian Identity in Britain was affected. Howard B. Rand was affected by this propaganda as he came into the Christian Identity Movement via British Israel.

Because of this bias, British Israel teaches some absolutely amazing absurdities about the Jews and Judah; and many Americans have been influenced by this false teaching.

In his Study In Revelation, Howard B. Rand, as a commentary to Rev. 2:9 and 3:9, says the following:

"There are those who declare they are Jews, but in reality are the synagogue of Satan. When Jewry crucified Christ they ceased to be Jews in a spiritual sense. Until then, God had been with them but now their place of worship, the synagogue, had become the abode of the adversary." [p. 12]

Mr. Rand is clearly confused for the aforementioned reasons. He thinks that the Jews were, at one time or another, Judahites. Like so many other deceived people, he thought that the Jewish people originated in the Judahites of the land of Judah. I can assure you that this is not possible.

Just because the rabbis have very cleverly and deceitfully used the word 'Jew' to apply to both themselves and to the House of Judah does not mean that they ever were the latter! If an actor impersonates your brother, does that mean that he IS your brother? Just like Eve, the true Israelites have allowed themselves to be fooled by some very clever, diabolical deceptions.

E. Raymond Capt suffers under the same illusion. In his book, Missing Links Discovered in Assyrian Tablets, he says, "After the Babylonian Empire was overthrown by Cyrus, king of the Persians, the exiled Judeans were allowed to return to their homeland. Of the hundreds of thousands originally taken captive, less than 50,000 accepted the invitation to return to Palestine.

It is this 'remnant' that became known as the 'Jews,' a name meaning 'remnant of Judah,' and never having been applied to any branch of the Semitic peoples prior to the Babylonian Captivity ." -- p. 93.


Unfortunately, Mr. Capt has got this completely wrong. I have nowhere else found a definition of 'Jew' meaning "remnant of Judah." It is simply a matter of historical fact that this remnant of Judah fully retained the name,

'Judah,' and they were not known, ever, as Jews, because the Jews, unlike Judah, have always practiced race-mixing and have always practiced a different religion. The people of Judah called their nation "Judah" until the day that Judah and Idumea, a nation of Edomites at the southern border of Judah, were merged by King John Hyrcanus of Judah around 150 B.C. Until that date, there was no such thing as a Jew.

A Jew is one who pretends to be a Judahite, or, perhaps, better, a Jew is a counterfeit Judahite. Rev. 2:9 says, "I know thy works, and tribulation, and poverty, (but thou art rich), and I know the blasphemy of them which say they are Jews [Judahites], and are not, but are of the synagogue of Satan." Rev. 3:9 tells us, "Behold,

I will make them of the synagogue of Satan, which say they are Jews [Judahites] , and are not, but do lie; Behold, I will make them to come and worship before thy feet, and to know that I have loved thee."

Is it conceivable that Jesus is talking here to the Jewish people, after he has identified them as the "children of the devil"? He is talking to the House of Judah about the impersonating Jews! Who are those who say they are of Judah but are not? Who is it that is impersonating Judah? Judah cannot impersonate Judah. Judah IS Judah. It is the Jews who are impersonating Judah and Israel.



These two statements make no sense otherwise. And, most certainly, the house of Judah is Not the synagogue of Satan. If you do not understand that Jewry with their priesthood, the rabbinate, is the synagogue of Satan, then you do not understand the New Testament! Why do you think the Jews hate the New Testament so much? Because it is so anti-Jewish!

Who on earth would want to impersonate a Jew anyway? Obviously, the above translation is

terribly defective, and it obscures the historical, cultural and ethnic distinctions that must obtain between two mutually exclusive and antagonist groups: Jews and Judahites!

Don't confuse the two! Jesus is talking to Judah and Israel and is warning us about this great impersonation by those Edomites (of the nation of Idumea) who have usurped the name of Judah.

By inventing this term, "spiritual Jew," Mr. Rand and Mr. Capt have bought into the Jewish charade and are thus unaware of the nature and extent of this impersonation.

They seem to think that the Judahites who returned from the Babylonian Captivity underwent some sort of spiritual transformation and became Christ-hating Jews as a result. Obviously, a Judahite can become a Jew by conversion to their religion. But can a race-mixed mamzer (Jew) ever become a Judahite? Hardly.

Yahweh was never with the Jews because the Jews have always been the children of a lesser god – the god that encourages race-mixing in direct opposition to Yahweh! The Jews have never worshipped Yahweh. They only pretend to. They have always been deceivers and they will remain deceivers because that is their nature. It is in their blood.

The source of this confusion can be traced directly to a rabbinical statement which many of our people have latched on to as if it were solid history. Here is the statement:

"The return from Babylon, and the adoption of the Babylonian Talmud marks the end of Hebrewism, and the beginning of Judaism." -- Rabbi Stephen F. Wise, Chief Rabbi of the United States.

Within non-Identity circles, any statement made by the chief Rabbi of the United States is automatically assumed to be authoritative. But these hearers are not aware of the Babylonian Talmud as the true origin of rabbinical teaching. They assume that the Jews were Judahites at one time. Thus, too many people have taken this statement to be historically accurate. They don't understand that the rabbinate is the world's Oldest Professional Liar's Club, with 2,000 years of deception, distortion, invention and trickery,

masterfully conceived and presented to a gullible Christian world.

Rabbi Wise's statement is pure rabbinical deception of the most clever kind. The "good" rabbi knows that most of us don't know the history of our own people, so he gets away with several historical inaccuracies in this one, short statement.

Most Christian Identity adherents know that there must have been a historical time when there was a shift in religious teaching, from the Law of Moses to Talmudism. The statement above appears to pinpoint this transition to the time of the return of Judah from the Babylonian Captivity.

The genius of this deception becomes evident when it is realized that most people will assume that, because our people were held captive so long in Babylon, They Must Have Picked up Babylonian Teaching Then and There. But the fact is that they did not. The Books of Ezra and Nehemiah prove conclusively that the remnant that returned from Babylon completely rejected Babylonian teaching and returned, under the guidance of those two priests, to God's Law.

In fact, Yahweh Himself declared that the House of Judah was to be taken captive to Babylon "for their good"! (Jer. 24:5)



If Rabbi Wise's statement were true, it would have been a total catastrophe for Judah! The return from the captivity, contrary to Rabbi Wise's statement, which is believed by so many, instead inaugurated a Golden Age of Mosaic Law, Not Talmudism. Indeed, if this were not the case, the Messiah could have never come to a people so completely adulterated as the Jews! The racial descent laws had to apply or Jesus

could not have been born ! So, whom are you going to believe, the Bible or a rabbi?

Here is the whole prophecy from Jeremiah, Chapter 24, giving us the distinction between the good figs and the evil figs:

"Thus sayeth Yahweh, the God of Israel; Like these good figs, so will I acknowledge them that are carried away captive of Judah, whom I have sent out of this place into the land of Chaldea [Babylon], for their good.

For I will set mine eyes upon them for good, and I will bring them again to this land: and I will build them, and not pull them down; and I will plant them, and not pluck them up . And I will give them an heart to know me, that I am Yahweh: and they shall be my people, and I will be their God: for they shall return to me with their whole heart." (Vs. 5-7)

In complete fulfilment of this prophecy, Ezra and Nehemiah re-established the racial laws forbidding intermarriage. They found the old Jerusalem books of genealogy (Neh. Chapter 7) and threw out of the congregation all of those who could not verify their 100% Judahite lineage! Does this square with what Capt and Rand teach? No, it does not.

Rabbi Wise's statement is calculated to deceive in five ways: 1.) It presumes an identity between Judahites and Jews. 2.) It creates a plausible yet false scenario for the change from Hebrewism (the Law of Moses) to Judaism (Talmudism). 3.) Contrary to what he says, the Talmud was NOT adopted by those who returned.

The Bible teaches otherwise. Here, Rabbi Wise is attaching a false sense of antiquity to Judaism. Judaism was started by the scribes and Pharisees. The sect of the Pharisees did not appear in history until the merger of Judah and Idumea in 150 B.C.

This merger was accomplished by virtue of the anti-Mosaic decree of King John Hyrcanus of Judah. The resulting nation of mixed multitude came to be known as "Judea." 4.) The Talmud, the written version of the oral traditions and teachings of the Pharisees, was not encoded until 500 A.D. 5.) Hebrewism never died. It was restored and energized by Ezra and Nehemiah. It was subsequently defended by the Maccabees;


and it was kept alive by the early Christians, and it is today known as Christian Identity.

A Brief history of the Second Temple Period

The Book of Ezra provides much valuable information regarding the struggle of the post captivity Judahites to maintain a pure line of descent.

The first thing that Ezra did was to order a registration of pedigree, and those who could not prove themselves to be purebred Judahites were cast out of the priesthood (2:62). In addition, all non-Israelite wives and the offspring of their marriages were put away and cast out of the territory (10:2,3).

The Book of Nehemiah records the same events (7:64, 13:22).



It is apparent to us, however, that in spite of this house cleaning, some members of the priesthood eventually resumed their forbidden affairs, and by the time of Christ, 450 years later, the Pharisees, the leading faction of the priesthood, were not all purebred Israelites.

This does not mean, however, that the common people of Judah, Benjamin, and Levi were as guilty of intermarriage as this faction of the priesthood. Indeed, were we lacking evidence, we would have to accept it on faith that both Joseph and Mary were purebred Israelites, most likely descended from the stock of simple, devout folk who traditionally do not engage in the sins of those who occupy positions of power and great temptation.

The city of Jerusalem was a cosmopolitan city that stood at the crossroads of the North African/Asian trade routes. It was the New York

City of its day. It is a well-known fact that most of the vice, crime, and moral decay takes place in the largest cities where criminals are more anonymous than in the small towns and farming communities. And, indeed, that is also where Jews tend to congregate.

As a consequence of this cosmopolitanism, we would expect higher class, wealthier Judahites, who were of the merchant class, or politicians, or the highest priests and scribes to be the ones most likely to have the opportunity to engage in fornication. Ezra confirms this in Chapter 9.

On the fourth day after returning from Babylon, Ezra received this report from the leaders of his entourage:

"The people of Israel, and the priests, and the Levites, have not separated themselves from the people of the lands, doing according to their abominations for they have taken of their daughters for themselves, and for their sons: so that the holy seed have mingled themselves with the people of those lands: yea, the hand of the princes and rulers hath been chief in this trespass." (Verse 1 and 2.)

You see, the holy seed is not to be mixed with other seed.

Ezra rends his garments in anguish over this state of affairs. But he sees that it is his job to clean up this mess and that the Father intends as much. He says, at Verse 8: "And now for a little space grace hath been shewed from Yahweh our God, to leave us a remnant to escape."

Then he resolves to correct this situation: " Now therefore give not your daughters unto their sons, neither take their daughters unto your sons, nor seek their peace nor their wealth forever [are you listening, children of Israel?]: that ye may be strong, and eat the good of the land, and leave it for an inheritance to your children forever ." (Verse 12.)

So, for those universalists and multiculturalists and other mixed-up Judeo-Christians, Ezra is tying our prosperity directly to obeying the Law against Race-mixing!

Don't you ever listen to one of those lying, sycophantic, low-life preachers again! They are the traitors of your race who have become the

scum that work for the Jewish scum. In a filthy pond, the scum rise to the top.

The fact that the New Testament makes a point of listing Joseph and Mary's genealogy all the way from Adam is evidence that Matthew (Chapter 1) and Luke (Chapter 3) took the matter of genealogy very seriously even though the Pharisaic priesthood did not observe this law. The Book of Esther is relevant to this time period; but, before discussing the Book of Esther, a few points must be made concerning the cultural changes that were going on among the Judahites at this time.



Due to the absence from Palestine of the Judahites during the Babylonian Captivity, the Hebrew language fell into disuse. It was replaced by the Syrian tongue, Aramaic, which is said to be very similar to Hebrew. Aramaic is the language which was spoken in Judea at the time of Christ.

Now, it was right after the Captivity of Judah that the synagogue came into existence. Since the great Temple of Jerusalem was destroyed, the scattered communities of Judahites erected smaller buildings to conduct their worship. Apparently, before this time, there was only the one Temple.

Those Israelites living too far away from the Temple to go there on the Sabbath had to get together wherever they were to hold study groups on the Mosaic Law. (The word 'synagogue' means "congregation.")

The synagogue is quite obviously the forerunner of the Christian churches because that is where Yah's Law was taught. (The synagogue of Satan is where Judaism is taught.) What has been previously referred to here as Hebrewism is more correctly called Mosaism because Moses is the actual compiler and administrator of the Law.

Before Moses, there was no codified law and the Hebrews were more a distinct cultural group rather than a distinct religious group. This was changed by Moses.

It is Mosaism which is always erroneously referred to as Judaism. As will be shown later, Judaism traces its origins to the Sadducees, Scribes, and Pharisees. It was these sects that changed Mosaism to the extent that Jesus condemned their doctrines as "the Traditions of the Elders."

This fact is of the utmost importance in exposing the historical fraud being perpetrated by those calling themselves Jews. Mosaic Law was altered by the Scribes and Pharisees. The Rabbis are the inheritors of their traditions. The Talmud is the written catalogue of their traditions; and Judaism is the religious philosophy and culture which is the direct result of these traditions.

Rabbi Louis Finkelstein, in discussing the transition from Pharisaism to modern Judaism, confirms this: Pharisaism became Talmudism, Talmudism became Medieval Rabbinism, and Medieval Rabbinism became Modern Rabbinism ..throughout these changes in name |the spirit of the ancient Pharisees survives, unaltered." -- P


12, The Pharisees, the Sociological Background of Their Faith. Of course his book is intended for Jewish readers only and he does not mention the extremes of antipathy between Talmudism and Hebrewism and between Judaism and the Way of Jesus Christ!

Due to the scattering of the Judahites from Babylon to Alexandria, Egypt, there began to arise numerous additional sects, many of which developed non-Mosaic and even anti-Mosaic teachings of their own. In the words of the Bible, while the good figs were in captivity in Babylon, the bad figs were playing around. So, keeping these major cultural changes in mind, let us continue with our brief history of the House of Judah in the Second Temple period.

The Book of Esther tells the story of a Benjamite woman (Esther 2:5-7) who is taken as a wife by King Ahasuerus (probably Xerxes I) of Persia. It is the story of her loyalty to her people as well as the story of Mordecai's loyalty to his people (Israel). The Book of Esther illustrates very well the types of intrigues which occur within the

halls of government when different groups of people vie for power and influence with the leadership. Judaism has taken the Book of Esther for its own and still celebrates the Feast of Purim which commemorates Mordecai's victory over Haman, the Agagite (Amelekite), contender with Mordecai for influence over Ahasuerus.

The Book of Esther records that Mordecai (above) is a Benjamite. Josephus, the Judahite



historian, does tell us that Mordecai refused to pay homage to Haman because Haman was an Amelekite; and the Amelekites had always been Israel's bitterest enemy. (Chapter 6, Antiquities of the Jews.

At the time that Josephus wrote, a Jew is technically defined as a half-breed Judahite. The first Jews were part Judahite and part Idumean, or part Canaanite. Although Josephus is relating the history of Judea as well as the Tribe of Judah, his intent is clearly to relate primarily the history of Judah and the relevant Israelite tribes that were associated with Judah. Considering these problems, the title of his book should be "Antiquities of the Judahites."

Certainly, the Jews and their bought and paid for "Christian" ministers, by using the word 'Jews' in the title seek to confuse what people and what religion is being talked about.). Mordecai is, therefore, a purebred Judahite and, hence, not a Jew. Indeed, Josephus carries on the theme of the Old Testament by documenting the lineage of Israel's leadership very carefully. This lineage is always held distinct from the lineage of the surrounding Canaanites.

Esther regarded her own fate with a great deal of tragedy, for as a seed conscious Israelite, she could only be in a great deal of distress. In her own words: "...and so I will go in unto the king, which is not according to the law: and if I perish,

I perish." Est. 4:16. Now, since the Jews do not obey any laws against race-mixing, and Esther plainly regards sex with a non-Judahite King as a Violation of the Law, is Esther a Jewess or a Judahite?

Her apparent choice is simple: either lie with the king or face his wrath. Of course, the fate of Israel has always depended upon how our women choose. Only the Israelites had a strict law against race-mixing. The Jewish religion has never obeyed any such law. The pagan religions had no law against it. And Judaism has always been a pagan, Babylonian religion.

(It should be pointed out here that several Identity researchers, such as Bertrand Compere and Wesley Swift, consider the Book of Esther to be a forgery, an invention of Jewish writers who wished to insert this story into the Bible.

They gave two main reasons for suspecting a forgery: 1.) the excessive violence of Mordecai's vengeance and 2.) the fact that the KJV version of Esther does not contain the name of Yahweh. It is thus the only book of the OT that does not contain the Sacred Name. But, to me, the story, as presented in the Bible, does not at all detract from the Israelite message.

First of all, those were very violent days, with "ethnic cleansing" being a commonplace form of warfare and revenge. In addition, the Dead Sea Scrolls contain portions of the Book of Esther from the Septuagint. The Septuagint version does, in fact, contain the name of Yahweh, proving once again that the Septuagint is the more accurate version than the Massoretic and that it predates any Talmudic tampering or invention. These two facts prove that the Book of Esther was written by Hebrews, not Jews.)

One thing is clear, however. The half-breed child of an Israelite and a non-Israelite would be a Jew, not an Israelite. This is the undeniable Law of God. An Israelite must have both parents be of purebred descent or he/she cannot be an Israelite.

It's as simple as that. Only such a child can claim to be of the "chosen". All others who make the claim are impostors, including those Jews who claim to possess the "Cohen gene." What a joke! The fact is that recent DNA studies prove conclusively that the Jews and the Palestinians have nearly indistinguishable DNA! And get this: up to one third of Palestinian babies are born with blond hair and blue eyes, a clear


indication that they have more White blood in them than Jews do!

The best data available to us between the time of Esther down to the Maccabees is that of Josephus. Let us pick up with Josephus where he relates the story of the prophets Ezra (Esdras) and Nehemiah.

He documents the valiant struggles of the Judahites to remain a distinct people and kingdom. (It is interesting to note, in this regard, that, true to their half-breed status, Judaism requires that only the mother be Jewish for the child to be considered a Jew.

This is an obviously anti-Mosaic tradition! -- and, therefore, anti-Israel and anti-Biblical! Since Winston Churchill's mother was an American Jewess, that makes him fully Jewish! No wonder he and Rosenfelt got along so well!) Two important statements are found in Chapter 5 of the "Antiquities": "... Esdras...was very skilful in the laws of Moses..."

This shows us that knowledge of the Mosaic law was still something honoured and respected at this time, in spite of attempts by usurpers to influence the priesthood. The "little space of grace" mention by Ezra in Chapter 9, Verse 2 has to last until the coming of Jesus, or there will be no Jesus.



In confirmation of our tracing of the history of the Ten Lost Tribes, Josephus (above) says: "wherefore there are but two tribes in Asia and Europe subject to the Romans, while the ten tribes are beyond Euphrates till now, and are an

and are an immense multitude, and not to be estimated by numbers. " This proves that the Judahites of that day understood their blood connection to the Lost Tribes of the House of Israel, which was also known at that time as the House of Joseph.

Chapter 6 of Antiquities confirms the existence of King Ahasuerus, a fact doubted by many, including Douglas Reed, author of The Controversy of Zion. Regarding the Book of

Esther, William Whiston, translator of the Complete Works of Josephus, says in a footnote: " almost all the objections against this book of Esther are gone at once, if we place this history under Artaxerxes Longimanus, as do both the Septuagint interpreters and Josephus." This is one of the rare instances in which I disagree with Swift and Comparet and accept the conventional wisdom.

To be Continued OS16340

Old Testament

“Word for Word” Deuteronomy Part 25

Translation by Stephen Howard Anderson



Chapter 32

[This chapter is The Song of Mosheh. It is an actual song in the Hebrew, and is quite complex in its construction].

1. "Give ear 238, O skies, to these words 1697; and hear, O land, the speech 561 of my mouth!

2. Shall drop 6201 as the rain 4306 my teaching 3948, shall distill 5140 as the dew 2919 my speech 565, as the raindrops 8164 upon the grass 1877, and as the rain showers 7241 upon the herbage 6212.

3. Because the Name of Yahweh I will proclaim 7121, and ascribe 3051 magnificence 1433 to Eloheynu 430.

4. The Rock 6697! Perfect 8549 is His work 6467: because all His ways are just 4941: an El 410 of truth 530 without iniquity 5766, just 6662 and upright 3477 is He.

5. They have dealt corruptly 7843, not of His children is their blemish 3971 a generation 1755 that is twisted 6141 and tortuous 6618.

6. To Yahweh do you recompense 1580 thus 2063, O people foolish 5036 and without wisdom 2450? Is He not your Father that acquired 7069 you, and He who made 6213 you, and established 3559 you?

7. Remember the days of old, and consider the years of many generations 1755/1755: ask 7592 your father, and he will tell you; your elders, and they will speak to you.

8. When divided to their inheritance 5157 Elyon 5945 the nations 1471, and separated 6504 the sons of Adam 121, He set up 5324 the borders 1366 of the people by the number 4557 of the children of Yis'rael.

9. And wrote Mosheh this Torah, and gave it to the priests of the sons of Lewiy, which carried the Ark of the Covenant of Yahweh, and to all the elders of Yis'rael.

10. And commanded Mosheh to them, saying, "At the end 7093 of seven years, in the appointed 4150 year 8141 of release 8059, at the Feast 2282 of Booths 5521,


11. when comes all Yis'rael to appear before Yahweh Eloheycha in the place that He shall choose, you shall read aloud 7121 this Torah in front of 5048 all Yis'rael in their hearing 241.

12. Assemble 6950 the people, the men, and the women, and the little ones, and the sojourner that is within your gates, so that they may learn 3925, and fear 3372 Yahweh Eloheychem, and observe and do all the words of this Torah;

13. and their children, that have not known, may hear, and learn to fear Yahweh Eloheychem, all the days that you live upon the soil that you pass over the Yar'den River there to possess.

14. And said Yahweh to Mosheh, "**Behold, approaches your day to die: call Yahshua, and present 3320 yourselves at the Tent of Meeting, so I may command him.**" And went Mosheh and Yahshua, and presented themselves in the Tent of Meeting.

15. And appeared Yahweh in the Tent in a pillar 5982 of cloud 6051, and stood the pillar of cloud at the door of the Tent.



16. And said Yahweh to Mosheh, "**Behold, you shall lie down to sleep 7901 with your forefathers; and shall rise up this people, and go whoring 2181 after elohey 430 of racial aliens 5236 of the land where they go among them, and will forsake 5800 Me, and break 6565 My Covenant that I made with them.**

17. **And shall be kindled 2734 My anger 639 that day, and I will forsake them, and will hide 5641 My face from them, and they shall be devoured, and shall find them many evils and troubles 6869; and they shall say in that day, 'Is this not upon us because Elohay 430 is not among us, and have found us these evils?'**

18. **And I shall surely hide My face that day over all the evils that they have done, because they have turned 6437 to other elohiyim.**

19. **Now write you this song 7892, and teach it to the children of Yis'rael: and put 7760 it**

in their mouths, so that shall be this song a witness 5707 against the children of Yis'rael.

20. **Because when I brought them into their land 127 that I swore to their forefathers, that flows 2100 with milk 2461 and honey 1706; and they have eaten, and are satisfied 7646, and grown fat 1878; they will turn to other elohiyim, and serve them, and reject 5006 Me, and break My Covenant.**

21. **And it shall be, when find them many evils and troubles, shall answer them this song before them as a witness; for it shall not be forgotten 7911 from the mouths of their seedline: for I know their plans 3336 that they make, this day, before 2962 I bring them to the land that I swore."**

22. So wrote Mosheh this song that day, and taught it to the children of Yisrael.

23. And He commanded Yahshua son of Nun, saying, "Be strong and courageous; because you shall bring the children of Yis'rael into the land that I swore to them: and I will be with you."

24. And it was when Mosheh made an end of writing the Words of this Torah upon a scroll, until they were completed 8552,

25. that commanded Mosheh the Lewiyyim, which carried the Ark of the Covenant of Yahweh, saying,

26. "Take this scroll of the Torah, and put it in the side 6654 of the Ark of the Covenant of Yahweh Eloheychem, and it shall be there for a witness against you,

27. because I know your rebellion 4805, and your hard 7186 neck 6203: behold, while I am ye

5750 alive with you today, rebellious 4784 you have been against Yahweh; and how much more 637 after my death?

28. Assemble to me all the elders of your tribes, and your officers, so I may speak in their ears these words, and call as witness 5749 against them the sky and the land.

29. For I know after my death you will be utterly corrupt 7843/7843, and turn aside from the way

that I commanded you; and will befall 7122 you evil in the latter 319 days, because you will do evil in the eyes of Yahweh, to provoke Him to anger 3707 through the works 4639 of your hands.

30. And spoke Mosheh in the ears of all the assembly of Yis'rael the words of this song, until it was completed 8552.

References

Verse 2 – Num. 20:12;

Verse 4 – Num. 21:21-35;

Verse 10 – a) Deut. 15:12; b) Deut. 16:13-15;

Verse 23 – Num. 27:23; Josh. 1:6

To be Continued

The Fall and Rise of Abraham's House (Part 8)

By
Adam de Witt



Chapter 11 The Return of Abraham's Kin but— Under Gilgallian Rule

KING ASOKA **FORDID**
(DESTROYED) HIS LAND AND
HIS FOLK by doing what his Israelite
kin did at Gilgal—to walk in the statutes of kings. Asoka's want was to have as many underlings as he could muster; creed or colour made no difference. After all, why limit yourself to racial kin when you can have non-Saxons too?! To make them underlings one needs to “spiritually baptize” them into the realm, kingdom of heaven. Today we call it naturalization. “Gentiles (mere nations) shall ye take—purifying them with an unlawful purification.” Testament of Levi 4:17, this despite Jesus' order not to go to the mere nations to find kingdom dwellers (Matthew 10:5-6).

As I have shown earlier, the word kin is linked to ‘gene’ or ‘genealogy’. The word ‘king’ is shortened from the Saxon word kinning of ‘kin’

plus ‘-ing’. (Like Koning in Dutch and its variant in German) Kinning or Koning or ‘King’ means, one who is kin. Hence Jesus being our kinsman Redeemer. If He be not our kin, and was of another race, He could not buy us back (redeem). If He be our kin, namely we be of the same race as Jesus, then logically He can be our King, kinning, koning, king. If we wed and bed a zûwr (one of another race), then that zûwr is not a kin (of the same genealogy). Jesus thus is never the kinsman redeemer of the zûwr partner, should there be offspring, then they too are not kin. Jesus is therefore not the King of that hybrid offspring.

Hence the Biblical warning that a bastard, (mamzer, mixed blood, product of adultery) shall not ever be a member of the Kinning Nation, namely, the congregation of the Lord. The man-kings and their approved churches have said the zûwr and mamzers are all kin, should we really pledge allegiance to such genocidal monsters? (Genocide = kin slaying),

The kings of Spain and Portugal tried to turn those who God docs not recognize into His children. Remember what Paul said in Acts; Jesus is Saviour/King of Abraham's seed only. But these kings had their own laws hey pledged to serve Jesus in their oaths, but their deeds proved otherwise, and so they made citizens of all those they had dominion over including the outlander zûwr.

By calling these zûwr, Christians (ie. underlings in Jesus' kingdom of heaven on earth), the kings broke yet more of God's laws; purifying them unlawfully. God does not accept offerings to

idols (false laws), these ‘purified’ (naturalized) outlander zûwr were offered to Christ, ie. made underlings of the man-kings who claim divine right to rule. The kings and priests thought they did just fine.

These kings were always in rebellion (reckoned as witchcraft, thus they should be put to death). The British kings and queens did likewise and thus they are too reckoned as witches, furthermore, they did not learn from errors made by the Spanish and Portuguese kings. They stubbornly did the same; stubbornness is reckoned as idolatry, punishable by death. (1 Samuel 15:23)

Queen Victoria was head of a system that was fuelled by white slaves. To ease their Judeo-Christian guilt, they called white slaves ‘convicts’. In 1833, the monarch, William IV, agreed to abolish slavery throughout the empire—for blacks only. Even during the age of Black slavery, White slaves worked 16 hour days; 7 hours more than blacks.

After 1833, blacks were given a royal pardon but white slaves were not. Blacks were more equal than white slaves in the empire. Under the monarch, Governor Davis in Van Diemens Land (Tasmania), for instance, made a pictorial proclamation to the black Australians showing them to be equal to free settler whites. This was the king’s law, the statutes of Omri, the law of Asoka.

While blacks were called and made free men from 1833, many whites in Britain were cursed to forget the ideas of land ownership or home ownership. They were forced into the cities, penniless, robbed of their wealth. A total of 67,665 of them were sent as white slaves (softly called convicts) to Van Diemen’s Land alone. When Capt. Maconochie wanted to better the lot of white slaves, some 4 years after black slavery had ended in the Empire, the man-queen refused his requests.


There were so many government-owned slaves, that free settlers ended up paying more taxes so as to pay for clothing, housing and so on for the slaves/convicts who outnumbered them. In 1843, 15,000 white slaves were ‘transported’ to Van Diemen’s Land whilst only 27 free settlers were sent. The “Anti-Transportation League” was born not out of pity for white slaves but because

the taxes were too heavy for the free settlers. Fearing a revolt, the Westminster parliament headed now by Queen Victoria stopped transportation on 10/08/1853. It was done not because the Queen loved the poor (those that Jesus ordered to do well by), but because of a fear of trouble, that’s all—a disgusting lack of love showed for those Jesus died for. On the Australian mainland, slavery took a new twist. Transportation had ended earlier, but the Queen’s Asoka equality ensured for a multi-racial Australia. There was no equality between the delicate rich and the poor whites, but the poor whites had to reckon themselves equal with the heathens, by law. Chinese workers were allowed to work the goldfields and send the gold to China.

Working white resentment grew. A number of incidents took place that led to the “White Australia Policy”. Queen Victoria was against a white Australia policy preferring instead Asoka’s multi-culturalism to God’s Ethno-kingdom. She said that a white Australia would upset the coloured nations of the Commonwealth. She did not defend those Jesus died for. Instead, she defended those He said to drive out. Australian Nationalists, after fighting often with the Queen’s ‘Red-coat’ standing armies, gained their apartheid, despite the Queen. I’m not for the republic of Australia, but the monarchists would have us believe that without the Queen we’d get the worst laws ever. They forget that the very worst law ever made was the scrapping of the white Australia policy in 1972. whilst the Queen was head. The Queen was out to protect the heathen, not the Israelites, just as her mother and grandmother before her.

Now it’s 1999. The Boers, whose families suffered genocide, can’t get an audience with her. But. a group of 5 ‘unclean ones’ (so called Aborigines), from Australia can go over to England and speak to her in person. In October, they outlined the plight of the unclean non-Israelites of Australia. The Queen in so doing shows her rebellion to God’s Laws which forbid any agreement with the unclean.

When Britain took the Cape colony of Suid Afrika, under the pretence of stopping Napoleon’s expansion, it was cried that the black man was as good as the white man and should have equal claims, even though the whites settled the southern parts of Africa 150 years before the blacks moved in. The Boers who studied God’s Laws said no king hut King Jesus.



They knew king William IV was a crook, so they packed up and left the Cape Colony; a territory the Crown seized unlawfully in 1805. Theirs was a great sacrifice. They had to not only leave a safe area, but they also had to leave behind their lands that they had worked and their fine homesteads which were eagerly snapped up.

The Boers, however, did not start a Civil War over being sold out, to be traded like chattel into the Empire. Instead, they left, to go to a land never claimed by the Queen of England. They founded a number of Boer Republics, such as 'De Republiek van Natalia,' 'De Oranje Vrystaat,' 'De Zuid- Afrikaansche Republiek' or Transvaal Republiek (from crossing the Vaal river). For some 50 years the Boers lived in frith (peace) in their internationally recognized free-standing republics or commonwealths. In 1877 this calm was shattered.

When precious minerals were found, the Queen chose to heed the advice of greedy, zuwr Canaanites rather than the Word of God which forbade Saxon to war against Saxon. She sent her armies to invade the Boer Republics.

Queen Victoria lured the zuwr blacks she freed to join her armies to burn, and loot Boer-Israelite

homes and churches and to enslave what Saxon-Boers survived. She allowed her 'captains' to destroy (by the thousands) Boer livestock and to build concentration camps to starve to death the elderly, women and children.

They were buried 4-5 per grave; one sixth of the Boers died this way. She allowed a huge fund for building the 'block house' system, a fortified 'Iron Curtain' to cut off the Boer Republics and starve all Israelite Boers; all the while leaving the blacks to live in peace! A total scorched-earth policy, where houses and lands were all burnt to the ground, and where all livestock was shot or bayoneted by English soldiers!

Blacks Armed by the British in Their War against The Boers

This huge capital wastage could and should have been used to drive out the heathen. The Bible calls Victoria's rebellion to God's Laws, witchcraft. Those that carry out witchcraft are witches. Queen Victoria was a witch. She presided over the genocide of Saxons to please Canaanite zuwr. The War against the Boers was not fought because Boers rebelled against the Queen. The Queen's armies marched on Boer Republics that were outside and to the north of the British-seized Cape colony. The Republics were never part of the empire.



Blacks Armed by the British in Their War against The Boers

The empire chose to overwhelm them and swallow them up for gold, diamonds and the pleasure of the Canaanites. Why didn't the Queen see the Boers as God's children? King William would free blacks in 1833 but keep whites enslaved and his successor Victoria carried on this policy with greater cruelty in 1889. Three years later, the once happy republics had become part of the empire, under the 'protection' of the monarchy. This protection

meant that the Boers had no homes, no livestock, no land and no rights. The British in the new 'Union of South Africa' told Saxon- Boers that they'd rather work with the blacks.

Blacks were allowed under monarchial protection, via her representative the Governor-General, to keep their languages and customs, but the Boers were forbidden to speak either Dutch or Afrikaans. Boer children in school who did were forced to wear signs hung around their necks stating, "I am a dunce, I spoke Dutch."

The monarchial 'blessing' ensured that British immigrants gained economic control of Boerland, believing they had the right to steal for they had the temple of the Lord in their midsts. Of course, this blessing did not reach out to the poor British whites., their lot was to be slaves in penal colonies.

The Queen is the 'head' of the Anglican Church. All Bishops of the Anglican Church in Suid Afrika were appointees from England, deeply committed to the political objectives of the Queen's government.

One such an appointee with Queen Elizabeth II's stamp of approval is Desmond Tutu, a black zûwr. Victoria's descendant, Elizabeth II, carried on the sins of her forebears for the Law states, "Thou mayest not set a stranger (zûwr, racial alien) over thee (Israelites) which is not thy brother (kin)." Deuteronomy 17:15. "There is no hope; no; for I have loved strangers." Jeremiah 2:25.

To be Continued



Harold Stough Notes Tracing The Cymry By Evelyn James

CYMRY IS THE MODERN NAME FOR THE DESCENDANTS OF THE WELSH AND I BELIEVE THE CORNISH. It is pronounced Kumri. Tradition and history link these Cymry to an ancient people who roamed Europe in by-gone days.

These people were known by various names in their wander in Posidonius, whom Strabo quotes, said that the Greeks called them Kimbroi and Katin writers called them Cimbri (Kimbri) Plutarch in his "*Life of Marius*," also identified the Kimri with the Kimmerori. In the century before Caesar they became known to the Romans by the harsher pronunciation of Kimbri.

The Teutons (Germans) called them Cambria or Cimbri. Other names for them were Cimmerians, Kimmerians, Gimeria, Gimri, Keltbr and Cimmerii. Rawlinson connected the Cimmerians with the Kimbri of the Romans and the Cymry of Wales.

The Welsh Triads state that the Cymry were the first inhabitants of Britain. Hu Gadern, or Hu the strong or mighty led his people from a region

around the city of Defrobani, later called Constantinople and now named Istanbul, into Britain. He is reported to be the author of the Triads, the Annals of the Cymri (page 25) which speaks of their sojourn, "*In the land of Hav.*" (Constantinople): The people, "rebelled against God and His fundamental Truths; sinning and committing injustice with daring transgressions; for which He poured upon them His retributive vengeance; whereupon dispersion and devastation issued; upon which they became nearly extinct, having lost their territories and national rights.

Then some betook to themselves their conscience; recovered to memory the name of the Deity and His. Truth; and adhering to those principles, they conducted themselves under the influence of cautious reason in their sinking state. God now, out of His grace and unutterable love, imbued them with laudable intentions; placing among them wise and holy men, who under the upholding of God and His peace, and in the refuge of His Truth and Justice, acquired a right knowledge of every superiority conducive to the well being of the race of the Cymry. Thus

circumstanced they proceeded in their adopted course admitting into their train all that would join them, from camp to camp; and in this manner retreated, until they escaped from the nation which had assailed them with devastation and plunder."

When the Cymry arrived in Wales they called themselves "Bryth y Brythan" or Briths of Britain. This means the covenanters of the land of the Covenant. The Celtic or Ancient British which includes the Cymry are B.C. immigrants. The Welsh, or Cymry, as the Eldest Tribe, held three priorities.

1. **First colonizers of Britain.**
2. **Priority of Government.**
3. **Priority of the first Christian in Britain.**

History, such as it is, brings the Cymry from the neighbourhood of the Black or Cimmerian Sea. The very district that tradition brings them from. But upon investigating the remains of antiquity we find some interesting facts.

Herodotus, the father of Grecian history said that the Khumri or Kumry who dwelt in the Crimean Peninsula had come into that territory from Media; and said that Media was not their original home or birthplace. This information leads one to think of a people who were carried captive to Media, the Ten Tribes or Northern Kingdom of Israel.

Now, the Ten Tribes or Northern Kingdom of Israel, were called on the Assyrian Tablets Beth Omri or Beth Khumri meaning House of Omri in Hebrew.

Sir Henry Rawlinson expressed the opinion that "We have reasonable ground for regarding the Cimmerians, who first appeared in the confines of Assyria and Media in the Seventh Century B.C. and the Sacae of the Behistun Rock nearly two centuries later as identical with Israel." Esar-haddon's troops called these people "Gimirra" which according to Rawlinson is Babylonian for "the tribes."


II Esdras 13: 39-46 tells us the Ten Tribes entered the narrow passes of the river Euphrates: they having been previously placed by Shalmaneser over the waters; and came into a

land where never mankind dwelt, a region called ArSareth. There, they dwelt until the latter time. This Ar-Sareth is a locality to the north-west of the Black Sea and the very region from whence came the first Welsh colony.

Omri was responsible for bringing to a head the idolatry which had been growing in Israel since the time of Solomon. The worship of this king of Israel was not only one of darkness and depravity, but we find certain Hebrew commentators affirming that the priests of the order rather appropriately, wore black vestments.

This entirely describes the nature of the word Cymri for Cimmerian means "black" or "dark" - (the Greek word kimeros means a mist or darkness). Homer in his Odyssey described them as living in perpetual darkness. These Cimmerians apparently gave the name to the Black or Cimmerian Sea.

The fact that Israel, after being "divorced" from Jehovah, walked in darkness seems to point to the essential meaning of the word Kumri or Cymry. These Cymry then became separated from the rest of Israel, it is believed, before the main captivity by Assyria, and wandered off to the area around the Black Sea.



The Black Sea country seems to have been a kind of natural place of assembly for the Israel tribes after their fall from grace. Whether they possessed some inherited instinct to make for the neighbourhood, which had been the birthplace of the Adamic Race, or not, is not certain.

At all events they, one and all, migrated in that direction on first leaving their own land, into a land which later writers called the land of the Scythians.

This land was believed to be uninhabited country, a land on which the Greeks bestowed the name of "Euxinos," in irony, the place

hospitable to strangers, meaning the bound from which no traveller returns. Israel in- dispersion preferred dwelling in this bleak spot to the torment and persecution of the Assyrian, a place where they might find peace. Here she remained for a considerable time, free from interference by the Gentile empires, building up her strength to fight her way back to civilization.

It is not known for sure when the Kimmerian first passed out of Asia over the Bosphorus. That they were in Europe in the days of Homer is obvious, because he mentions them in his Odyssey, and he lived at least 800 years before the Christian Era.

Brig. General W. H. Fasken in his "Israel's Origin and Migration" stated that he believes the Kimmerians moved, after the destruction of Sardis, northward via the Bosphorus to the region of the Crimea (Kimmeria), from which they retreated on the approach of the main bodies of Israel (Beth Sak or Sak-Geloth or Scolotoi).



The latter had come from the neighbourhood of the present day Armenia across the Caucasus, first to the Crimea between 650-600 B.C. (Rawlinson) then to Arsareth and afterwards spread themselves progressively over the country from the Don to the Danube and over the present day Ukraine).

The Kimmerians, after their retreat, divided; the greater part retired westward, but part moved back to Asia Minor, the coasts of which they ravaged, from Lydia to Cilicia, for thirty years, until Alyattes expelled them from Lydia.

The main body of the Kimmerians, which retired from the Crimea westward about 600 B.C. on the approach of the Beth-Sak or Scolotoi appear to have remained in their settlements on the Danube till 114 B.C. when they moved west

along the Drave and startled the Roman troops at Noreia in 113 B.C.

A considerable amount of fighting took place in Switzerland, south Gaul, and north-east Spain, in which the Kimbri were uniformly successful. At Arausio, on the Rhone, they gained a smashing double victory, but they were heavily defeated by Marius, the Roman General on 30th of July, 101 B.C. at Vercellas, and only a remnant escaped to the northwest Germany.

A century later they are found in the Kimbic Chersonese (Jutland). They are the Cimbie of Tacitus and about the time of Christ were in Denmark (Jutland) which was called the Chersonesus Cimbica, or peninsula of the Cumbri.

In the Crimea there were many cemeteries, and in these large numbers of tombstones have been found with Hebrew inscriptions. These tell that the people buried there were of the Exile and give the dates as well as to what Tribes those buried, belonged.

One ancient cemetery has been found near the renowned Balaclava, made famous by the "**Charge of the Light Brigade.**" Rev. Stern, an English missionary of Hebrew descent, copied the following from one of the tombstones:

"I am Jehudi, the son of Moses, the son of Jehudah the mighty, a man of the Tribe of Naphtali, of the family of Shimli, who was carried captive in the captivity of Hosea, King of Israel, with the Tribe of Simeon, together with other Tribes of Israel."

Another tombstone reads: 'We must inscribe here the wonders which God has done for us, who can recount what has happened unto us all during 1,500 years we have lived in exile.' Still-another reads: "This is the grave of Buki, the son of Isaac the priest, may his rest be in Eden at the time of the deliverance of Israel." The date about 19 B.C. The Crimea was called in olden times Taurica, the Tauric Sythialand of the Bull or the Bull of Scythia.

Remember that the Cymry were B.C. immigrants to Britain. The Jutes, Saxons and the Danes came later in history and of course are the same kindred.

Bishop Titcomb says: "After the arrival into South Britain of the Kelts from Spain, there came another arrival, viz, the Cimbri from Denmark. These finally settled in Wales, where they are known as the Cymri (Cumry), and they are of the same race as the Cimerii who occupied the country around the north and west of the Yjoetic Lake, a few hundred miles from the place of the Israelitish exile."

The Moxians, or Mosesites, inhabited the west of the Black Sea. Herodotus mistook their reverence to Zal Yoxis to mean he was their god. Zal-Moxis is without doubt Moses, Zal chief or leader.

From the time of Solomon we find colonies of a people (who in history are referred to as Sacae, or children of Isaac) scattered from the Black Sea to the Persian Gulf, voluntary emigrants.

Another thing that connects the Cymry with the land of Palestine is language. The Welsh, the Gaelic, the Irish, the Cornish, the Armoric, the Manx and the ancient Gaulish tongue, are the related languages which have proceeded from the Kimmerian or Keltic source.

It would be difficult to adduce a single article or form of construction in the Hebrew grammar, but the same is to be found in Welsh, and that there are many whole sentences in both languages, exactly in the very same words. Ancient Cornish sentences have been transliterated as being euphonicly Hebrew, and they turn out to be quotations from the Psalms and Proverbs. Cymry language was Hebrew and they were called "The People of Jehovah." Cymry names traceable to Hebrew roots simply and purely!

The ancient British came to Britain in various ways, some direct and others overland across Asia and Europe. In some parts of Britain there is more pure Hebrew used because the people of that district came direct from the Holy Land. Others would of course have picked up foreign words and variations in speech in their travels. This explains the different dialects in Britain, and the different amounts of Hebrew words in each dialect.

The Druidic religion was begun in Britain among the Cymry. It was the Cymry who became the first on the island to accept Christianity.

The End OS12160

Pub's Three Notice Process To Stop Care Homes Mandating Vaxxes

Posted on 19th September 2021

By The Bernician

PUB'S THREE NOTICE PROCESS TO STOP CARE HOMES VAXXING EMPLOYEES

PUB's Three Notice Process To Stop Care Homes Mandating Vaxxes

By popular and urgent demand, here lies **PUB's** three notice process to deal with the clear and present threat that UK care homes will be insisting that their employees take the experimental COVID-19 'vaccines' to continue working.

Notice of Conditional Acceptance


Once you have filled in the relevant information, the Notice of Conditional Acceptance should be sent to the directors of the care home which has indicated that you must be 'vaccinated' in order



to continue working there, conditionally agreeing to grant your consent in the event they can provide you with the material evidence you ask for.

Moreover, you can engage in this process acting as a Trustee of the People's Union of Britain [**PUB**], in order to establish their legal protec-

tion, under the provisions of the Treaty of Universal Community Trust.



Each missive must also be sent by recorded mail or special delivery and all mailing receipts must be retained, so that it can be proven that every notice was duly served upon the intended recipients.

Name of Employee

Employee’s Address / Email Address

Fao: Name of Care Home Directors

Address of Care Home

Notice of Conditional Acceptance

**Notice to Agent Is Notice to Principal
Notice to Principal Is Notice to Agent**

Dear Sir/Madam,

RE: CARE HOME VACCINE POLICY

In relation to UK Government **COVID-19** ‘Vaccine’ Policy, under the protection of the People’s Union of Britain, you are hereby served notice that I conditionally accept that you have the right to mandate **COVID-19** ‘vaccinations’ for all your staff, provided you deliver to me the following:

1) Material evidence, not hearsay or opinion, which proves beyond reasonable doubt that the **COVID** ‘vaccines’ are incapable of harming me.

2) Material evidence, not hearsay or opinion, which proves beyond reasonable doubt that the **COVID** ‘vaccines’ have undergone rigorous double-blind placebo safety studies.

3) Material evidence, not hearsay or opinion, which proves beyond reasonable doubt that I will not die, suffer or develop any adverse reactions including, but not limited to, neurological problems, blood clots, blindness, nerve damage, deafness, autoimmune disease, anaphylaxis, anaphylactoid reactions, allergies, fertility complications, Guillain-Barré Syndrome, etc and/or suffer any other form of harm, complication, or die as a result of or because of being injected with any **COVID-19** ‘vaccine’.

4) Material evidence, not hearsay or opinion, which proves beyond reasonable doubt that the **COVID** ‘vaccines’ approved for emergency use by the MHRA provide immunity from either **SARS-COV-2** or **COVID-19**.

5) Material evidence, not hearsay or opinion, which proves beyond reasonable doubt that the care home has sought legal advice on whether it is lawful to mandate the ‘vaccination’ of your staff and that you have performed an appropriate risk assessment.

6) Material evidence, not hearsay or opinion, which proves beyond reasonable doubt that you have employed the Precautionary Principle when deciding whether or not to ‘vaccinate’ staff at the care home.

7) Material evidence, not hearsay or opinion, which proves beyond reasonable doubt that you have informed your public indemnity insurers if there is any possibility that serious or fatal ‘vaccine’ adverse events might ensue upon ‘vaccination’ of myself or any other staff, in which case you would be liable for gross negligence and perhaps even manslaughter.

Please deliver to me these reasonably requested items within seven days of your receipt of this notice, given the seriousness of the matters raised and the apparent imminence of the care home adhering to the UK Government policy of mandating the **COVID** ‘vaccines’ for all UK care home staff.

I look forward to hearing from you without delay in signed writing.

In sincerity and honour, without ill will, frivolity or vexation,

Name of Employee

Trustee of People’s Union of Britain
All Rights Reserved under the Treaty of Uni-
versal Community Trust
Errors & Omissions Excepted

Notice of Opportunity To Cure

Given that the evidence you will be asking for does not exist, in the extremely likely event you don’t receive what you asked for within seven days, the Notice of Opportunity To Cure should be sent, reiterating the terms of the first notice, giving the care home directors another three days to respond appropriately.

However, the only acceptable responses would be either providing you with the material evidence requested, or an agreement to cease and desist in their ‘vaccination’ plans.

Name of Employee

Employee’s Address / Email Address

**Fao: Name of Care Home Directors
Address of Care Home**

Notice of Opportunity to Cure

**Notice to Agent Is Notice to Principal Notice
to Principal Is Notice to Agent**

Dear Sir/Madam,

Re: Care Home Vaccine Policy.



Following your failure to respond to my notice dated 28/05/2021, in relation to UK Government COVID-19 Vaccine Policy, under the protection of the People’s Union of Britain, you are hereby served notice that you have a further three days to deliver to me the following:

1) Material evidence, not hearsay or opinion, which proves beyond reasonable doubt that the COVID ‘vaccines’ are incapable of harming me.

2) Material evidence, not hearsay or opinion, which proves beyond reasonable doubt that the COVID ‘vaccines’ have undergone rigorous double-blind placebo safety studies.

3) Material evidence, not hearsay or opinion, which proves beyond reasonable doubt that I will not die, suffer or develop any adverse reactions including, but not limited to, neurological problems, blood clots, blindness, nerve damage, deafness, autoimmune disease, anaphylaxis, anaphylactoid reactions, allergies, fertility complications, Guillain-Barré Syndrome, etc and/or suffer any other form of harm, complication, or die as a result of or because of being injected with any COVID-19 ‘vaccine’.

4) Material evidence, not hearsay or opinion, which proves beyond reasonable doubt that the COVID ‘vaccines’ approved for emergency use by the MHRA provide immunity from either SARS-COV-2 or COVID-19.

5) Material evidence, not hearsay or opinion, which proves beyond reasonable doubt that the care home has sought legal advice on whether it is lawful to mandate the ‘vaccination’ of your staff and that you have performed an appropriate risk assessment.

6) Material evidence, not hearsay or opinion, which proves beyond reasonable doubt that you have employed the Precautionary Principle when deciding whether or not to ‘vaccinate’ staff at the care home.

7) Material evidence, not hearsay or opinion, which proves beyond reasonable doubt that you have informed your public indemnity insurers if there is any possibility that serious or fatal ‘vaccine’ adverse events might ensue upon ‘vaccination’ of myself or any other staff, in which case you would be liable for gross negligence and perhaps even manslaughter.

Please deliver to me these reasonably requested items within three days of your receipt of this notice, otherwise I will hold you all personally liable for any adverse events which arise from the care home adhering to the UK Government policy of rolling out the COVID ‘vaccines’ for care home workers.

I look forward to hearing from you without delay in signed writing.

able doubt that the COVID ‘vaccines’ are incapable of harming me.

In sincerity and honour, without ill will, frivolity or vexation,

Name of Employee

Trustee of People’s Union of Britain
All Rights Reserved under the Treaty of Universal Community Trust
Errors & Omissions Excepted



Notice of Default

If the directors propose that you should enter reasonable discussions before they take a position on the issues you have raised, this process should be suspended pending the outcome of further communications. The same would apply in the event this happens at an earlier stage.

2) There is no material evidence which proves beyond reasonable doubt that the COVID ‘vaccines’ have undergone rigorous double-blind placebo safety studies.

However, if you don’t receive what you have reasonably requested and the care home directors refuse to cease and desist in their plans to mandate ‘vaccination’, the Notice of Default should be sent, notifying them of the potential civil and criminal liabilities they have incurred.

3) There is no independent material evidence which proves beyond reasonable doubt that I will not die, suffer or develop any adverse reactions including, but not limited to, neurological problems, blood clots, blindness, nerve damage, deafness, autoimmune disease, anaphylaxis, anaphylactoid reactions, allergies, fertility complications, Guillain-Barré Syndrome, etc and/or suffer any other form of harm, complication, or die as a result of or because of being injected with any COVID-19 ‘vaccine’.

Name of Employee

Employee’s Address / Email Address

Fao: Name of Care Home Directors Address of Care Home
07/09/2021

4) There is no material evidence which proves beyond reasonable doubt that the COVID ‘vaccines’ approved for emergency use by the MHRA provide immunity from either SARS-COV-2 or COVID-19.

Notice of Default

Notice to Agent Is Notice to Principal Notice to Principal Is Notice to Agent

5) There is no material evidence which proves beyond reasonable doubt that that the care home has sought legal advice on whether it is lawful to mandate the ‘vaccination’ of your staff and that you have performed an appropriate risk assessment.

Dear Sir/Madam,

Re: Care Home Vaccine Policy.

Following your failure to respond to my notices dated 28/08/2021 and 04/09/2021, in relation to UK Government COVID-19 ‘Vaccine’ Policy; under the protection of the People’s Union of Britain, you are hereby served Notice of Default.

6) There is no material evidence which proves beyond reasonable doubt that you have employed the Precautionary Principle when deciding whether or not to ‘vaccinate’ children at the care home.

Please be advised that your silence on this very serious matter has given rise, by tacit procurement, to your agreement that: 1) There is no material evidence which proves beyond reason-

7) There is no material evidence which proves beyond reasonable doubt that you have informed your public indemnity insurers that there is any possibility that serious or fatal ‘vaccine’ adverse events might ensue upon ‘vaccination’ of my child, in which case you would be liable for gross negligence and perhaps even manslaughter.

Please be advised that the People’s Union of Britain will issue any and all necessary legal proceedings to hold you to account for your complicity in what would be a crime ancillary to genocide, in the event you do not immediately abandon the plan to mandate the emergency use COVID ‘vaccine’ for all staff at [add name of care home].

I will also be holding you jointly and severally liable for any and all civil damages claims, in the event I comply with such a mandate under protect and duress for the sole purpose of retaining my employment at the care home and I suffer any injury or harm as a result.

In sincerity and honour, without ill will, frivolity or vexation,

NAME OF EMPLOYEE
Trustee of People’s Union of Britain
All Rights Reserved under the Treaty of
Universal Community Trust
Errors & Omissions Excepted

Next Steps

Unless the care home directors abandon their plans to mandate staff ‘vaccination’ with an experimental, DNA-altering toxic-soup, PUB

will hold them criminally liable for acts ancillary to genocide against care workers, in multiple breaches of well-established international law and conventions.

Furthermore, using a Common Law Lien process developed over the course of the past thirteen years, the injured parties will be able to obtain damages secured against the personal legal estates of the care home directors, for the injuries caused by their gross civil wrongdoings.

Needless to say, the templates for that non-judicial process of obtaining damages pay outs will be posted here in due course, along with a webinar which will cover any question and queries people are likely to have.

However, it is anticipated that many of the care home directors who are served the preceding three notice process will either suspend or terminate any and all plans to mandate staff ‘vaccination’, rather than risk both bankruptcy and prison by ignoring or dismissing the serious issues raised.

Furthermore, the process can also easily be adapted to meet the needs of anybody who is under threat of losing their job for refusing to be jib-jabbed.

The End

Letters and Views



16 Real Reasons Why Col. Gaddafi Was Killed

Sir__ I came across this interesting info Co. Gaddafi

1. There was no electricity bill in Libya, electricity was free for all its citizens during Gaddafi's reign.

2. There was no interest on loans, banks in Libya were state-owned and loans were given to all its citizens at 0% interest by law.

3. Home considered a human right in Libya – Gaddafi vowed that his parents would not get a house until everyone in Libya had a home. Gaddafi’s father had died while him, his wife and his mother were still living in a tent during his reign.

4. All newlyweds in Libya received \$60,000 Dinar (US\$ 50,000) by the government to buy their first apartment so to help start up the family.

5. Education and medical treatments was free in Libya. Before Gaddafi only 25% of Libyans are literate. During his reign the figure was 83%.

6. If Libyans want to take up farming career, they received farm land, a farming house, equipment, seeds and livestock to kick- start their farms – all for free.

7. If Libyans couldn't find the education or medical facilities they need in Libya, the government used funds them to go abroad for it – not only free but they got US \$2, 300/mth accommodation and car allowance.

8. In Libyan during Gaddafi reign, if a Libyan buys a car, the government subsidized 50% of the price.

9. The price of petrol in Libya is \$0. 14 per liter in Gaddafi time.

10. Libya had no external debt and its reserves amounted to \$150 billion – now frozen globally.

11. If a Libyan was unable to get employment after graduation the state would pay the average salary of the profession as if he or she is employed until employment is found.

12. A portion of Libyan oil sale was, credited directly to the bank accounts of all Libyan citizens.

13. A mother who gave birth to a child received US \$5 ,000

14. 40 loaves of bread in Libya cost \$ 0.15 during Gaddafi's reign.

15. 25% of Libyans had a university degree , during Gaddafi reign.

16. Gaddafi carried out the world's largest irrigation project, known as the Great Man-Made River project, to make water readily available throughout the desert country.

If this is called "Dictatorship" I wonder what type of Leadership Democrats have. **Your's truly, K. H, West Midlands**

Letter to Mark Harper MP. Vaccine Passports



Subject: Government Consultation regarding Vaccination Passports.

Dear Mark, Please give me your opinion on this. The government are inviting the public to comment on their views on the possible legislation to be introduced regarding vaccination passports.

Betty Boothroyd, when she was Speaker in the house, stopped a select committee discussing proposals to change statute law because the proposal was against our common law. She explained that parliament does not have the power to change our common law.

The Government Consultation to require proof of vaccination against covid in order for those members of the public to enter certain venues is against our common law and I believe the statute, The Bill of Rights act 1689.

Please, in the first instance reply to me with your comments and later any decision that you may be contemplating to stop this unlawful action.
Yours truly, J. T, Gloucestershire. UK.

Quote

"Thanks to the terrible power of our International Banks, we have forced the Christians into wars without number. Wars have a special value for Jews, since Christians massacre each other and make more room for us Jews. Wars are the Jews' Harvest: The Jew banks grow fat on Christian wars. Over 100-million Christians have been swept off the face of the earth by wars, and the end is not yet." -- Rabbi Reichorn, speaking at the funeral of Grand Rabbi Simeon Ben-Iudah, 1869. **Yours truly, K. H., West Midlands.**

**Errr 'scuse me if I'm wrong...but:
 Zero CO2 omissions means no plant
 food ...means no Oxygen...means no
 life???????.
 Leave the climate alone**

A Prayer for America

**"He that cannot pray, let him set
 sail on the sea,
 and there he will learn."**

Our Father Who art in Heaven, we have **Not**
 hallowed Thy Name:

We are in a storm! Thy mournful remnant in
 anguish!

LORD, in wrath remember mercy!

Gather **America** under the shadow of Thy
 wing.

Look upon us who have eaten the fruit of lies,
 Turn us we pray---To seek **Thy Face and Thy
 Way**.

We confess now our sin
 vain and fruitless is mortal strength.
 Evil and wickedness now fills our land and
 cities.

We know not where to turn in this dark hour
 but unto Thee.

Look down upon our unemployed, the widow
 and the orphan.

Visit Thou the sick and wounded,
 The afflicted with wounds and putrefying
 sores;

Pity the poor, the down-trodden and the debt-
 ridden---

Oh God, our help in Ages Past Be Thou now
 our **Hope!**

Have regard unto us, send forth now Thy Sav-
 ing Health!

GOD in Whom we trust Guide our Ship of
 State,

Now drifting helplessly upon jagged rocks.
 Let Thy Grace and Glory shine forth like a
 Beacon Light...

Are we to be devoured; swallowed up
 In the belly of the Beast from out the sea?

LORD, take Thou the helm of our Nation,
 Bring us to safe harbour. Home.

Give unto our Leaders wisdom with right un-
 derstanding.

Remove far from us, wicked and greedy politi-
 cians;

Sweep them all away with the Besom of Thy
 destruction!

Drive out the money-changers from Thy
 Temple Oh, **LORD!**

Curse now with Thy cursing The Almighty
 Dollar!

Dethrone **MAMMON** from all hearts.

Teach unto our Judges the meaning of justice.

Frown Thou now upon ungodly lawyers and
 unrighteous judgments.

Deliver us from Preachers and False Prophets
 Who feed themselves and not the flock of God.

Make our Nation free from the gangster's yoke,
 Deliver us from all crime and hate, this
 demonic frenzy.

Bundle for burning all noxious tares, injurious
 tumble-weeds;

Cause the noise-some beast to cease from our
 Environment.

Make Our **Land of Beulah** safe for our
 children.

Send forth Thy Light and Thy Truth

Be Thou Our Salvation.

For---We are perishing! In Jesus Name.

AMEN.

From An Irish Patriot

Worldwide Democide

Sir--- This is worldwide democide by the Jews.
 Everything about COVID™ pandemic is Jewish.

1. CDC Director, Rochelle P. Walensky, is a Jew
2. CDC Deputy Director, Anne Schuchat, is a Jew.
3. CDC Chief of Staff, Sherri A. Berger, is a Jew.
4. CDC Chief Medical Officer, Mitchell Wolfe, is a Jew.
5. CDC Director, Washington Office, Jeff Reczek, is a Jew.
6. COVID Czar, Jeff Zients, is a Jew.
7. COVID Senior Advior, Andy Slavitt, is a Jew.
8. HHS Secretary, Xavier Becerra, is a Jew.

9. HHS Ass. Secretary, Rachel Levine, is a dude and a Jew.

10. Pfizer's CEO is a Jew.

11. Moderna's vaccine created by a Jew.

12. Johnson & Johnson's CEO is a Jew.

13. Teva is an Israel pharmaceutical company with a jewish CEO.

14. Regeneron Pharma CEO is a Jew.

15. Astra Zeneca's CEO was to take over as CEO of Israel's Teva Pharmaceuticals which means he's a Jew. **Yours truly KH, West Midlands, UK.**

Banned By Disqus



I tried to post the below but received the response that I am banned by Disqus.

I do not pay council tax. Each year, on receipt of their tax demand I serve a legal notice on the council by email (as good as recorded delivery)

To The Chief Executive Officer of the Forest of Dean District Council.

NOTICE

Notice to principal is notice to agent. Notice to agent is notice to principal.

Regarding the council tax bill 2021/2022 for Cider Mill Cottage, the reason that i will not pay it is because you and the monitoring officer continue to act corruptly. i will not support a criminal organisation. However, to avoid further problems i will give my lawful reason for not paying. i do not wish to pay until the FODDC responds as below.

The FODDC must first 1) demonstrate that there is a contract between the FODDC and myself the

living man john timbrell not MR John Timbrell a person and corporation.

2) That the FODDC have given full disclosure as required by common law re the services being offered and these have been accepted by me for value so stated.

3) That you can demonstrate manifestation of intent by way of my signature on a contract between us.

4) that the terms and conditions of any contract are lawful under common law not according to statute law.

5) That any liability order if it is to be sought is to be against the legal fiction MR. John Timbrell, a person and corporation by the FODDC, a corporation being of equal status as required by law, and not against john of the family timbrell a living man and thus of superior status to a corporation which would render the proceedings unequal in law and thus invalid.

6) that council tax is lawful. i am informing you that i do not give my consent to hearings being conducted in a court de facto and should you continue to pursue me i require this matter be dealt at a court de jure under common law and that judgement be made by a jury of my peers.

i require the legal team of the FODDC to be aware of the status of The Gloucestershire magistrates court as being a branch of the Ministry of Justice which is a registered corporation, and as such conducts its business under Admiralty Jurisdiction whose rulings on dry land are invalid without the consent of both parties to any hearing. i john of the family timbrell will not give my consent to such proceedings under Admiralty Jurisdiction.

i write without ill will, veraciousness, or frivolity. Yours sincerely, john of the family timbrell. signed and served electronically as allowed for under the civil procedure rules. The council try to enforce their unlawful demands and so far I have kept them at bay.

Their crime is that they ignore the law regarding planning determinations which should go before the full council. The process they use is corrupt. The police "Do not think it appropriate to prosecute". They are part of the corruption. I

would not pay the tax if I were you Suzie Halewood.

That said, I do tax my car and do pay income tax. Their powers to enforce those are huge. I have to fight battles that I can win.

We are unable to post your comment because you have been banned by Disqus. Find out more.

From: john TIMBRELL

HOW CLOSE HUMANITY IS



to understanding that their governments are organized criminals

Box of Film Rolls Found in the Trash That Capture Life Inside a Nazi POW Camp

Sir ___, This extract on an article about recently discovered film showing what life was really like in a German Prisoner of War Concentration camp.

History can seem far-removed from our lives, especially when the history is something that happened long before we were born or that bears little resemblance to the world as we know it. Sometimes that history has a way of creeping up on us unexpectedly, and comes forth into the light again.

One of those moments happened for Olivier Rempfer, and it began as he was walking home one night in 1999. Rempfer was returning to Cagnes-sur-Mer on the French Riviera from a neighbouring town where he had been spending time with friends.

On his trip, he noticed a wooden box sitting on top of a trash can. Intrigued, the young man opened it and found the box was full of cylinders wrapped in paper. Each contained a roll of black-and-white 35mm film.



After unrolling and holding some of the strips to the light, he thought he must be looking at shots taken during the filming of some war movie. Not terribly interested at the time, he put the box aside and it slipped from his mind.

Several years later, Rempfer's father, Alain, found the box. Being a photographer himself, he was more interested in the contents of the film, but he also couldn't make out what he was seeing very well. In 2003, Alain Rempfer bought a film scanner which he used to examine the box's contents. He was quite surprised at what he found.

The film was full of photos taken at a prisoner of war camp during World War II — there were about 300 shots in total - Click [HERE](#) for the original photos and pictures. **Yours truly, K. H. West Midlands, UK.**



Steven Books
League Enterprises
Suite 3, 3rd. Floor
148 Cambridge Heath Road
London
E1 5QJ

For books by identity authors – Kenneth McKilliam, Ria Splinter and Richard Porter plus many other subjects and difficult to obtain books.

<http://www.stevenbooks.co.uk/category/341/Religion>

Mystery on The Isle of Wight (Part 3)

Arthur Firstenberg



THE DISEASE SEEMED TO SPREAD IMPOSSIBLY FAST. “There is no reason to suppose that it travelled more rapidly than persons could travel [but] it has appeared to do so,” wrote Dr. George A. Soper, Major in the United States Army.

But most revealing of all were the various heroic attempts to prove the infectious nature of this disease, using volunteers. All these attempts, made in November and December 1918 and in February and March 1919, failed.

One medical team in Boston, working for the United States Public Health Service, tried to infect one hundred healthy volunteers between the ages of eighteen and twenty-five. Their efforts were impressive and make entertaining reading:

“We collected the material and mucous secretions of the mouth and nose and throat and bronchi from cases of the disease and transferred this to our volunteers. We always obtained this material in the same way.

The patient with fever, in bed, had a large, shallow, tray like arrangement before him or her, and we washed out one nostril with some sterile salt solutions, using perhaps 5 cc., which is allowed to run into the tray; and that nostril is blown vigorously into the tray. This is repeated with the other nostril. The patient then gargles with some of the solution.

Next we obtain some bronchial mucus through coughing, and then we swab the mucous surface of each nostril and also the mucous surface of the throat— Each one of the volunteers— re-

ceived 6 cc of the mixed stuff that I have described. They received it into each nostril; received it in the throat, and on the eye; and when you think that 6 cc. in all was used, you will understand that some of it was swallowed. None of them took sick.”

In a further experiment with new volunteers and donors, the salt solution was eliminated, and with cotton swabs, the material was transferred directly from nose to nose and from throat to throat, using donors in the first, second, or third day of the disease. “None of these volunteers who received the material thus directly transferred from cases took sick in any way—

All of the volunteers received at least two, and some of them three ‘shots’ as they expressed it.” In a further experiment 20 cc. of blood from each of five sick donors were mixed and injected into each volunteer. “None of them took sick in any way.”

“Then we collected a lot of mucous material from the upper respiratory tract, and filtered it through Mandler filters. This filtrate was injected into ten volunteers, each one receiving 3.5 cc. subcutaneously, and none of these took sick in any way.”

Then a further attempt was made to transfer the disease “in the natural way,” using fresh volunteers and donors: “The volunteer was led up to the bedside of the patient; he was introduced. He sat down alongside the bed of the patients.

They shook hands, and by instructions, he got as close as he conveniently could, and they talked for five minutes.

At the end of the five minutes, the patient breathed out as hard as he could, while the volunteer, muzzle to muzzle (in accordance with his instructions, about 2 inches between the two), received this expired breath, and at the same time was breathing in as the patient breathed out—

After they had done this for five times, the patient coughed directly into the face of the volunteer, face to face, five different times— [Then] he moved to the next patient whom we

had selected, and repeated this, and so on, until this volunteer had had that sort of contact with ten different cases of influenza, in different stages of the disease, mostly fresh cases, none of them more than three days old— None of them took sick in any way.”

“We entered the outbreak with a notion that we knew the cause of the disease, and were quite sure we knew how it was transmitted from person to person. Perhaps,” concluded Dr. Milton Rosenau, “if we have learned anything, it is that we are not quite sure what we know about the disease.”

Earlier attempts to demonstrate contagion in horses had met with the same resounding failure. Healthy horses were kept in close contact with sick ones during all stages of the disease. Nose bags were kept on horses that had nasal discharges and high temperatures.

Those nose bags were used to contain food for other horses which, however, stubbornly remained healthy.


As a result of these and other attempts, Lieutenant Colonel Herbert Watkins-Pitchford of the British Army Veterinary Corps wrote in July 1917 that he could find no evidence that influenza was ever spread directly from one horse to another.

The other two influenza pandemics of the twentieth century, in 1957 and 1968, were also associated with milestones of electrical technology, pioneered once again by the United States. Radar, first used extensively during World War II, was deployed on a spectacular scale by the United States during the mid-1950’s, as it sought to surround itself with a triple layer of protection that would detect any nuclear attack.

The first and smallest barrier was the 39 stations of the Pinetree Line, which kept vigil from coast to coast across southern Canada and from Nova Scotia northward to Baffin Island.

This line, completed in 1954, was the roots, as it were, for a huge tree of surveillance that grew between 1956 and 1958, whose branches spread across mid- and high-latitude Canada, sent shoots into Alaska, and drooped down over the Atlantic and Pacific Oceans to guard the United States on east, west, and north.

When it was complete hundreds of radar domes, resembling golf balls the size of buildings, littered the Canadian landscape from ocean to ocean, and from the American border to the Arctic.



The Mid-Canada Line, extending 2,700 miles from Hopedale, Labrador to Dawson Creek, British Columbia, consisted of 98 powerful Doppler radars 30 miles apart and roughly 300 miles north of the Pinetree Line. Construction of the first station began on October 1, 1956, and the completed system was dedicated on January 1, 1958.

The 58 stations of the Distant Early Warning or DEW Line kept their frozen watch roughly along the 69th parallel, 200 miles north of the Arctic Circle, in a chain extending from Baffin Island to the Northwest Territories and across Alaska. Each main site, of which there were 33, had two pulsed transmitters, one controlling a pencil beam for long-range precision tracking, the other a wider beam for general surveillance.

Each beam had a peak power of 500 kilowatts, so that each site had a maximum peak capacity of one million watts. The frequency was between 1220 and 1350 MHz. The other twenty-five “gap-filler” stations had continuous wave Dopplers rated at 1 kilowatt and operated at 500 MHz.

Construction began in 1955 and the completed system was dedicated on July 31, 1957. The DEW Line extended down into the Atlantic and Pacific Oceans in lines of Navy ships—four in

the Atlantic and five in the Pacific——supplemented by fleets of Lockheed aircraft that cruised in twelve- to fourteen-hour shifts at 3,000 to 6,000 feet in altitude. The radar-bearing ships and planes of the Atlantic Barrier were based in Maryland and Newfoundland and patrolled the waters out to the Azores.

Atlantic operations began testing on July 1, 1956, and were fully deployed one year later. The Pacific Barrier, based in Hawaii and Midway, scanned the ocean off western North America and patrolled roughly from Midway to Kodiak Island. Its first two ships were assigned to Pearl Harbor in 1956, and the Barrier became fully operational on July 1, 1958.

In addition, three “Texas Towers,” equipped with long-range radars, were placed about 100 miles off the Atlantic coast and affixed to the ocean floor. The first, 110 miles east of Cape Cod, began operation in December 1955, while the third, 84 miles southeast of New York Harbor, was activated in early summer 1957.

Finally, every one of the 195 initial radar sites blanketing Canadian skies had to be able to send surveillance data from mostly very remote locations, and so high power radio transmitters were added to each site, typically operating in the microwave spectrum between 600 and 1000 MHz, with broadcast powers of up to 40 kilowatts.

These used a technology called “tropospheric scatter.” Huge antennas the shape of curved billboards aimed their signals above the distant horizon so as to bounce them off particles in the lower atmosphere six miles above the earth, and thereby reach a receiver hundreds of miles away. Another complete network of such antennas, called the White Alice Communications System, was installed throughout Alaska at the same time.

The first ones were put into service on November 12, 1956, and the complete system was dedicated on March 26, 1958.

The “Asian” influenza pandemic began about the end of February 1957 and lasted for more than a year. The bulk of the mortality occurred in the fall and winter of 1957-1958. A decade later the United States launched the world’s first constellation of military satellites into orbit at an altitude of about 18,000 nautical miles, right in

the heart of the outer Van Allen radiation belt. Called the Initial Defence Communication Satellite Program (IDCSP), its 28 satellites became operational after the last eight were launched on June 13, 1968. The “Hong Kong” flu pandemic began in July 1968 and lasted until March 1970.



Although there had already been a few satellites in space, they had all been launched one at a time during the 1960’s, and at the beginning of 1968 there had been a total of only 13 operating satellites orbiting above the earth. In one fell swoop the IDCSP not only more than tripled the number, but placed them in the middle of the most vulnerable layer of the earth’s magnetosphere.

In each case—in 1889, 1918, 1957, and 1968—the electrical envelope of the earth, which will be described in the next chapter, and to which we are all attached by invisible strings, was suddenly and profoundly disturbed.

Those for whom this attachment was strongest, whose roots were most vital, whose life’s rhythms were tuned most closely to the accustomed pulsations of our planet—in other words, vigorous, healthy young adults, and pregnant women—those were the individuals who most suffered and died.

Like an orchestra whose conductor has suddenly gone mad, their organs, their living instruments, no longer knew how to play.

The End



Internal Revenue Service

By
Willie Martin



And why not? Anything involving more "legality" does nothing more than advance the status, importance, need, and employment of this questionable profession. It is a fact, that today most all of the "churches" who have been sued in a court action have been "incorporated churches."

THIS GREAT CHRISTIAN REPUBLIC THAT WE LIVE IN WAS FORMED 225 YEARS AGO IN 1776 BY GOD-FEARING MEN WHO PUT ALL, including their homes, families, and lives on the line. What we seldom hear today, and what has been conveniently (or should I say deliberately) left out of the history books, is that much of the impetus for achieving our independence and freedoms was the result of the preaching and teaching of the clergy of that time. They were "fired up" with the Word of God, and spoke out on anything and everything that was in conflict with that word.

What a contrast to today, when the preachers are silent, when the churches have made a pact with the devil (the state), when they have played the role of Judas and sold out our God for "thirty pieces of silver." Prior to 1954, there was no such thing as a 501(c)(3) church.

All donations, contributions, gifts, etc. given to churches were automatically tax-deductible under the old English common law, known as the "Law of Charities." Then in 1954, Senator Lyndon Baines Johnson (D-Texas) sponsored legislation which brought churches under the new 501(c)(3) section of the Internal Revenue code.

As a part of this legislation, churches would incorporate, and having that status, they could not be sued in a legal action. This was promoted as a "benefit" to the churches. The churches never bothered to look to the Scriptures and previous law to find out that churches could not be sued. They relied instead on advice from lawyers and the "legal profession" who recommended acceptance of this new "benefit." And why not? Anything involving more


I guess that back in 1954, the rationale of the churches was that if everyone else was doing it, why should Christians not be involved. The whole concept of limited liability was the bait to get churches incorporated and like a fish, they were hooked! Corporations, under law, can sue and be sued! By submitting an application (and a substantial fee) to the IRS for tax exempt status, the "church" is requesting and accepting a government license to allow them to operate, within the parameters and statutes of government regulation.

In other words, the church has become subservient to the government. Today, it is estimated that fully 90% of the "churches" in this nation are 501(c)(3) government sponsored churches, while only 10% are free-churches.

The following materials were obtained from the Christian Bible Study web site in Melbourne, Florida, and reflect the requirements with which a church must comply to receive tax-exempt status from the IRS. Instead of being a minister of God, serving the Almighty, these preachers have betrayed our Savior and the entire Christian theology, to become instead ministers of the government. And this is not to say that we are anti-government. We feel that the government has its place and the ministry of God has its place, and the government is and should be subservient to God.

Fortunately, any and all ministries located or headquartered in the Commonwealth of Virginia can not meet the first criteria, that of being incorporated. Virginia is the only state that does not permit or allow churches to incorporate. Some ministries and churches that wished to incorporate, have gone outside of Virginia, to other states (such as Delaware) for incorporation. This prohibition in Virginia dates back to the

year 1802, when the Anglican Church (renamed as the Episcopal Church), went to the Virginia legislature seeking incorporation. The legislature found such to be a violation of the First Amendment relative to the establishment of state-endorsed churches, and they moved to amend the state constitution to forever prohibit church incorporation in Virginia. In spite of what some misinformed or uninformed churches and preachers may claim, Jesus Christ **Can Not** be the head of a 501(c)(3) church because at law, the "sovereign" to the corporation is the state!



And "sovereign" means the supreme authority over legal as well as theological matters. The corporation has put the church into a non-entity status.

The request and acceptance of the 501(c)(3) status establishes a covenant (a binding agreement and contract) between the government and the church.

In recent months, there have been several instances where the government has stripped the tax-exempt status from churches and demanded back taxes for violation of this covenant. And those pastors who "claim" that they can go into their pulpits and say anything they wish, contrary to "public policy," do so at their own peril.

They have made a contract with the government, which they are in violation of, and they are in fact lawbreakers and civil criminals, for which they and their church can be held liable and responsible.

And we wonder with more than 325,000 churches in this land, why we are seeing such deterioration of ethics and morality. The churches are silent and ineffective. The ministers

have sold out Christ, again, for their "30 pieces of silver." The Ten Commandments is under attack by the judicial system (in several geographic areas) as it is not considered public policy. The churches say, come to Christ, accept His grace and He will protect you, and then, these very same churches ignore their own words and turn to the state for protection. What must our heavenly Father think of these false preachers and churches?

Pastors Must Be Ordained by The IRS

The most prominent and successful certified public accountant firm in the nation today, that specializes in keeping churches out of trouble with the Internal Revenue Service, is now boldly saying to the pastors of America that they not only have to be "ordained by God, but also the IRS."

They also say that the IRS is dictating terms to the churches by declaring in clear and no uncertain terms that, "The government doesn't want your Bible, they want your cheque book!" In seminars all over the US, Chitwood and Chitwood of Chattanooga, Tennessee, is repeating this startling announcement to pastors and church leaders.

Without so much as a blush, Michael Chitwood, the main speaker, says, besides the above quotes, "Pastor, if you don't get your house in order, get ready, you are going to have a jail ministry" as he practically screams out to those present as to what pastors and church leaders must do to avoid jail time and the confiscation of church property by the federal government.

Besides giving the pastor his job description, which is based on the Roman Catholic model of worship and polity, the IRS is demanding many other things that are clearly designed to make the IRS Commissioner the new head of the churches in America. Some of these demands are as follows:

The church must collect a Federal ID (Social Security) number from visiting evangelists, missionaries, preachers and singers before they invite them to the pulpit.

They must give a Form 1099 to any minister who receives up to \$600 in a year's time, which

includes missionary support. If the ID is not received, the church leaders must withhold a jeopardy assessment of 39% on the spot and send it to the IRS. They must agree to the IRS definition of benevolence. They cannot deal in cash at any level, but must deposit all offerings into a checking account and write checks for every purpose.

This means, of course, that the church, in order to conduct its ministry, has to have an Employer Identification Number which must be provided by the IRS by filing Form SS-4 which a true New Testament church could never do and remain obedient to her Lord.

In fact, church leaders would have to misrepresent the church as a religious organization rather than a NT. Church to do so. Other things that pastors and church leaders are required to do are to be an informer against their members and also to preach only IRS prescribed sermons. Also, all fund raising material must be submitted to the IRS for their approval.

The job description for pastors is a list of nine specific sacerdotal duties which again is a Roman Catholic definition meaning sacred. The Catholic church teaches that these sacraments, when administered by a priest, are essential to ones salvation.

This is totally opposite to the Bible definition which states that baptism and communion are memorials of the death burial and resurrection of our Lord Jesus Christ, and that they follow salvation.

The nine duties are: baptisms, weddings, serving the Eucharist (the Catholic sacrament of communion), teaching , spiritual counselling, christenings or baby dedications, administration of church affairs and the regular conducting of worship services. If the pastor does a substantial number of these things, he will receive very lucrative tax breaks.

This is in clear violation of the scriptures concerning the doctrine of the Nicolaitanes which the Lord Jesus said that He hates (Rev. 2:6, 2:15). It is the setting up of a privileged class of clergy with benefits from the state that lay members do not receive. Others who minister to the church in the area of music, youth, children, education and other areas of responsibility don't

qualify. This is consistent with what officials of the IRS told Attorney David Gibbs of the Christian Law Association (CLA) in 1984 when they said that their definition of church is "once per week adultery."

They said that they did not consider such ministries as Sunday school, Christian school, busses, youth camp, vacation Bible school, nursing home, etc., to fall within their definition of the word "church".



This Gibbs/IRS meeting took place in Washington, DC at the time that the so called champion of Christian liberty was hammering out a compromise with the Dole Committee (Sen. Bob Dole-R-Kansas) - Photo above, in the US Senate to bring the churches into compliance with the FICA tax (social security) laws.

This was the deal that would eventually cause the raid and seizure of the Baptist Temple 17 years later. It's too bad that Gibbs didn't sound the alarm at that time and call the Bible believing pastors together for a summit meeting to map out a strategy that could have possibly saved our churches and ministries.

The unregistered church pastors tried, but unfortunately our words fell on deaf ears. The so called "big" preachers were too busy receiving their tax deductible gifts and building their empires to be concerned over the future of God's work. Now the enemies of Christ have sprung the trap and it is too late.

These requirements should be intolerable enough by just the fact that the IRS would even dare to suggest duties for pastors, but to impose under the penalty of imprisonment and confiscation of property the same responsibilities of Roman Catholic priests is nothing short of naked tyranny.

Obviously, the inquisition has returned and the pastors and churches of America are not even aware that it has arrived. The raid and seizure of

the property of the Baptist Temple is the direct result of the pastors and church refusing to bow down to this new wave of Catholic terror that has come upon our land.

The issue in the Baptist Temple case isn't taxes as the IRS, with the help of the media, has led the people of Indianapolis and nation to believe. The issue is very simple. Will the churches of America bow down to the IRS in violation of the first commandment, "Thou shalt have no other gods before me," or will they bow down to the Lord Jesus Christ? Our Lord said,

"No man can serve two masters: for either he will hate the one, and love the other; or else he will hold to the one, and despise the other. Ye cannot serve God and mammon" (Matthew 6:24). The purge is now on as we hear of churches and ministries being closed on a regular basis. But there is still no evidence that the

pastors have awakened from their stupor. The benevolent requirements for churches are beyond atrocious. They are five in number: food, clothing, housing, medical emergencies and utilities. The church cannot help with transportation or auto repairs and a myriad of other things that churches have historically done on a regular basis. The church cannot make loans to the pastor, members, or others which churches have always done. Obviously, they want to make sure that the money lenders get their profits even from the poor.

Note Ed:- While this about churches in the USA and their internal Revenue Service. The same applies to the UK Inland Revenue Service!

To be continued 14868



The Requirements for High Office

By The Irish Savant



I SUPPOSE YOU MUST HAVE BEEN STRUCK BY THE BIZARRE AND UNLIKELY BACKGROUNDS OF SO MANY OF THE WEST'S LEADERS AT SOME STAGE. Tony Blair, failed Parliamentary candidate and struggling barrister, deeply unpopular at school, with murky accusations of homosexual pandering swirling around him, yet skyrocketing to the PM's office.

Macron, a freak who married a woman old enough to be his mother and whose first and only role in politics was the highest office in the land. Same with Draghi – straight into the top position despite having zero political track-record. Merkel, a colourless Communist apparatchik from East Germany and almost certainly a Stasi spy who was "imposed" (his own words) on Chancellor Helmut Kohl as his her-apparent.

Ardern, Varadker and a host of others achieved similar meteoric and otherwise inexplicable rises.

But nobody comes close to the Gay Mulatto, the multi-named Barry Soetero. Imagine you run a HR department at a corporation. One day this guy walks in. Nice looking resume, good talker, reasonably intelligent. So you ask him where he's from. Hawaii, he says.

So you run his Social Security Number and it belongs to a guy from Connecticut born in 1890. He doesn't look that old. Residence? Chicago. Current Occupation? Community Organiser. So you look into the Illinois Bar Association and find both he and his wife's law licenses have been suspended. Also, the organization he worked for has multiple convictions for voter fraud.

So you get curious. Parents? American mother, Kenyan father, adopted by an Indonesian National at age 6. Interesting, but you're a progressive, open-minded sort, and who has a 'normal' family these days anyway? Got a Birth Certificate? Yes, a short form, unofficial one. Education? two years, Occidental College on a foreign students' Scholarship. Which Country? Indonesia. Transcripts? No. Columbia University BA Political Science/Pre-law. Transcripts? No. References? None. Student

Organizations? None. Well you have a few Columbia grads in the firm, so you ask around. Nobody knows him. Hmmm. Harvard Law JD. Transcripts? No. References? None. Publications? None. Ah, but I see you've already written an autobiography. Remarkable. And according to the publisher's note you are a citizen of Kenya.

Married? yes, to Big Mike. I mean Michelle. Who drove you to today's interview? My body man. huh? Hobbies? Cocaine, marijuana, bath houses. Looking further down the resume, you find Letters of Reference from a former leader of a domestic terror organization, a Black

Nationalist preacher, and a convicted racketeer named Tony Rezko.

Alright, Mr. Soetoro, or is it Obama? Mr. Obama, you'll be President one day.

Go figure. In my opinion they got selected for top office by the so-called elite not despite their weaknesses, inexperience and dark secrets but because of them. It's a feature not a bug. Step out of line and we pull back the veil. You must admit it's a pretty effective scheme.

The End

The Hoskins Report Chapter 306 Babylon (Part 2)

The Integrationist - Babylon's Citizen

PAT BUCHANAN GAVE A TALK TO THE BOSTON WORLD AFFAIRS COUNCIL from which I have excerpted the following[9].

"...A few years ago, Ralph Nader wrote to the executives of 100 giant US. corporations, suggesting how they might show their loyalty to 'the country that bred them, built them, subsidized them and defended them.' At the annual stockholders meeting, Ralph said, why not begin with a pledge of allegiance to the flag?

"Only one company responded favourably. Half did not respond at all. Many sent back angry letters declaring that they were not American companies at all.

Motorola denounced the request as 'political and nationalistic.' Other companies likened the idea of a pledge of allegiance to loyalty oaths of the McCarthy era. Why were the heads of the corporations outraged? Because for years the have been trying to sever their bonds to the country of their birth.

"In 1997, the head of Boeing told one interviewer he would be delighted if, twenty years hence, no one thought of Boeing as an American company. My goal, said Phil Condit, is to 'rid [Boeing] of its image as an American group.'

"Back in the 1970's, Carl Gerstacker of Dow envisioned a day when Dow would be free of America. —A spokesman for Union Carbide agreed: 'It is not proper for an international corporation to put the welfare of any country in which it does business above that of any other. In any test of loyalties, for such as these, the company comes before the country.'



"Early in the 1970's, Zbigniew Brzezinski (above), later Jimmy Carter's national security adviser, wrote, 'A global consciousness is for the first time beginning to manifest itself... we are witnessing the emergence of trans-national elites ... composed of inter-national businessmen, scholars, professional men and public officials.'

The ties of these new elites cut across national boundaries, their perspectives are not confined by national traditions... and their interests are more functional than national.' The one force

that can de-rail the rise of this new elite, warned Zbig, is the 'politically activated masses,' whose 'nativism could work against the cosmopolitan elites[10].

"Last month, UN High Commissioner for Human Rights, Mary Robinson, toured northern Mexico. Her concern: the U.S. Border Patrol. By heavily patrolling the accessible crossing points, said Ms. Robinson, our Border Patrol is 'forcing' illegal aliens to take more perilous routes into the United States....

"Wall Street Journal editor Robert Bartley has been quoted as declaring 'the nation-state is finished.' He calls for an amendment to the Constitution to throw open America's borders to immigration from all over the world.

Bartley's vision of America as a Global Mall is embraced by the global corporations that advertise in the Journal and seek access to an inexhaustible supply of low-wage foreign labour—I believe globalisation is inevitable,' Bill Clinton told Larry King at year's end. ..."

Anti-Saxonism

Babylon's obstacle is "man" - the Saxon. To destroy this obstacle is the reason for the present integration media blitz. This is the reason that Babylon is doing all in its power to pull down Saxon heroes and icons.

Their agents burned Robert E. Lee's picture in Richmond; they are attacking the Confederate flag in S. Carolina; they have blacked out George Washington from view; they forbid prayer in schools, they accuse Thomas Jefferson, a sponsor of the "African Colonization Movement" to send Blacks back to Africa, of having an affair with a black slave.

In spite of DNA evidence, and without allowing discussion or debate, Babylon has put the supposed descendants of the illicit union on the university speaking circuit in Virginia to destroy Saxon nationalism by claiming that the founding fathers were themselves intergrationalists.

If they can make Jefferson into an integrationist they can persuade other Saxons to become intergrationalists. From there is only a short step to Babylon's "globalism". New recruits for Babylon!

Why The Saxon?



The Saxon nation is more than an organization. It is more than politics or a religious sect. It is even more than skin deep. Each Saxon has been appointed a king and a priest by God Himself[11].

As long as one single pair of Saxon-Adamites live - a male and a female - Babylon will never be safe.

This is why 30,000,000 Russian-Saxons were killed and their land given to the Slav-Khazar alliance to rule. This is why 9 million German Saxons were killed after WWII ended and half their land placed under Slav rule. That's why Saxon South Africa was destroyed.

That's why the American Southland was raped and looted. That's why 40,000,000 of our babies have been murdered - most by alien doctors. That's why our children are forbidden to read God's Law in school or post it in the king's courtrooms. That's why an eternal war is being waged against us by the powers of darkness.

The Rest Of The Story

We know the rest of the story. We have read the Book. First, God promised that he would punish us for allowing strangers into our land[12] and for not enforcing His Law.

To punish us God put hooks in the jaws of the strangers and has dragged them to our land. He did this because he said he would. Now think when you read the list whether or not God is true to his **WORD** and is doing what he said he would do:

"If ye will not... do all these commandments;... I also will do this unto you;

1 - "Ye shall sow your seed in vain, for your enemies it ...; shall eat.

2. - "They that hate you shall reign over you;

3. - "Ye shall flee when none pursueth you.

4. - "I will break the pride of your power;

5. - "Your land shall not yield her increase...;

6. - "I will send wild beasts (strangers) among you which rob you of your children ... and make shall you few in number.

7. - "I will bring a sword upon you ...;

8. - "I will send the pestilence among you;

9. - "I will... cast your carcasses upon the carcasses of your idols, and my soul shall abhor you;

10. - "I will make your cities waste;

11. - "And I will bring the land into desolation: ..." **Lev 26:14-35**

God's WORD says that among our people, "Two parts therein shall be cut off and die, but... I will bring the third part through the fire. " **Zech. 13:8.**

Already, the media-concealed crimes committed by strangers against Saxons have inflicted far more casualties than all our wars, and Babylon's agents now want to take the victims' weapons so that our enemies can finish the job they have started unopposed.

Babylon's End

But God has not forgotten his people. He also says:

"When the enemy shall come in like a flood', the Spirit of the **LORD** shall lift up a standard against him." **Isa 59:19**; "I will send My Word and save thee and thy seed." **Adam & Eve 12:6**;

Already, the **WORD** is loose and running in the land. This **WORD** says that all the traitors have been condemned by God:

"I will purge out from among you the rebels, and them that transgress against me." **Ezek 20:38**

This is the day when God will order another Gideon to once again gather the few from the many called, and these few will vanquish the enemies' great numbers. They will remember, "Whosoever will save his life shall lose it: and whosoever will lose his life for my sake shall find it." **Matt 16: 24-25**

To prepare for this day Jesus said:

"He that hath no sword, let him sell his garment and buy one." **Luke 22:36**

Neither has the false shepherd been forgotten:

"Go ye— and smite:— and begin at my sanctuary." **Ezek (9:1-6)**

The Greatest Weapon Against Babylon



But of all the weapons of God, the greatest and the one Babylon fears the most is the **WORD** itself:

"The word of God is quick, and sharper than any 2-edged sword, piercing even to the dividing asunder of soul and spirit." **Heb 4:12**

The result of using this weapon has already been written: "And it shall come to pass at the same time when Gog shall come against the land of Israel, saith the Lord God, that my fury shall come up in my face— and I will call for a sword against him— I will turn thee hack, and leave but the sixth part of thee— I will give unto Gog a place there of graves— and there shall they bury Gog and all his multitude." **Ezek 37:21-39:29.**

To be Continued

The Lapalma Eruption And Earthquakes Are An Artificial Attack! Updated 22nd October From Our West Midland Correspondent



THE VOLCANIC ERUPTION WHICH BEGAN ON THE ISLAND OF LAPALMA IN THE CANARY ISLANDS, Atlantic Ocean, off the northwest coast of Africa seems to be getting some help: artificial help to make it **Worse!** Evidence below:

When the Cumbre Viejo volcano on La Palma began erupting on September 10, it immediately became the focus of intense attention because that island is the only place in the world which can generate a Tsunami wave, which is capable of literally destroying the entire east coast of North America.

Back in the 1990's several scientists closely studied and even went inside the then-dormant volcano and found that the entire southwest flank had become unstable and could slide into the Atlantic Ocean. That unstable land mass is the size of the island of Manhattan, NYC, and would generate a tsunami wave.

Computer models showed the tsunami would begin hitting the US east coast within 7 to 8 hours, and would smash almost all the major cities, with a wall of water 30 meters to fifty meters high. That would mean a wave 100-164 FEET tall, lasting 5 to 45 minutes as it flooded 12-15 miles inland.

As interest in the eruption grew, people starting paying closer attention to what was taking place there.

Tonight, that attention has paid off.

The European-Mediterranean Seismological Centre (EMSC) was founded in 1975, following

a recommendation from the European Seismological Commission (ESC). The ESC is a regional commission of the International Association of Seismology and Physics of the Earth's Interior (IASPEI), itself a specialized association of the International Union of Geodesy and Geophysics (IUGG). Put simply, it is Europe's equivalent to the US Geological Survey in the USA.

Tonight, if someone goes to the EMSC web site to look at earthquakes taking place on the island of LaPalma, they get a map showing this:

The red dots forming a blob of red indicates the ongoing clusters of earthquakes around the erupting volcano.



If you zoom-in a little closer on the same earthquake map, you see this:

But watch what happens when you zoom-in really close:

That's almost a perfect grid pattern. There is no way that's natural. No possible way at all.

The End



IF THE TRUTH BE KNOWN



CD 127 minutes (listen to Audio Clip on site)
\$16.00

Here you will find the ignored story of the massive deportations of the German peoples from Eastern Germany, Poland, the Baltic States, and the Sudetenland and its attendant horrors.

The entire library of many more audio programs is available as a bundle. All told, this is nearly 14 hours of great historical commentary! Plus, you realize a savings of \$20 dollars. For full details and to purchase go to the website:—

<http://www.untoldtales.net>

Eurofolk Radio



Is now on air!

24 hr streaming of items of interest to identity believers including live broadcasts on matters of national interest and much more!!

TUNE IN NOW!


<http://eurofolkradio.com/category/general/>



Pastor Eli James
Sundays 16.00 hrs
(British Summer time)

Live on Eurofolk Radio and Eurofolk YouTube - Tune in and join in the chat

<http://eurofolkradio.com/category/general/>




This long sort after book is now available!

Click on image for details



A Magazine For Patriots
For further details click [HERE](#)

Announcements



[In The Name Of
Yahwey auf
Deutsch - Für
weitere
Informationen, auf
das Bild auf der
rechten klicken Sie
bitte](#)



**The Chronicles Of The
Migrations Of The
Twelve Tribes Of Israel
From The Caucasus
Mountains Into Europe**
By
Pastor Eli James

[CLICK HERE](#)



www.theflameuk.com

Contact


Tel: 07984 775937

E-mail [HERE](#)

This website is dedicated to those who have an open mind and question the official Government narratives about COVID19 and the lockdown measures.


<https://judgeforyourself.co.uk>

GERMANY'S OWN IDENTITY MAGAZINE



CONTACT

pia-6@t-online.de



Die Banker Satans

Aktualisiert Erweitert Unzensur
von
Carrington Hitchcock, Andrew